IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION

COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS SERVICING, L.P.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.: 09-21198CA09

et al.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

MICHELE SJOLANDER

Wednesday, March 14, 2012

10:07 a.m.

4500 Park Granada

Calabasas, California

REPORTED BY:

Julee Sokol

CSR No. 11319

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For Plaintiff Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, L.P.:
4	AKERMAN SENTERFITT
5	BY: JEFFREY A. TRINZ, ESQ. One Southeast Third Avenue
6	Twenty-fifth Floor Miami, Florida 33131
7	Telephone: (305) 375-5600
8	SHAPIRO & FISHMAN, LLP BY: TRAVIS HARVEY, ESQ.
9	2424 North Federal Highway Suite 360
10	Boca Raton, Florida 33431 Telephone: (561) 998-6849
11	(Appearing telephonically)
12	For Defendant et al.:
13	ICE LEGAL, P.A. BY: AMANDA LUNDERGAN, ESQ.
14	1015 North State Road 7 Suite D
15	Royal Palm Beach, Florida 33411 Telephone: (561) 729-0530
16	(Appearing telephonically)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX	TO EXAM	INATION	
2		WITNESS:	MICHELE	SJOLANDER	
3					
4	EXAMINATION				PAGE
5	By Ms. Lundergan				5
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2		WITNESS: MICHELE SJOLANDER	
3	Co	ountrywide Home Loans Servicing, L.P.	
4		vs. et al.	
5			
6		Wednesday, March 14, 2012	
7		Julee Sokol, CSR No. 11319	
8			
9	MARKED	IDENTIFICATION	PAGE
10	Exhibit 1	Amended Complaint to Foreclose Mortgage	58
11	Exhibit 2	Complaint to Foreclose Mortgage and to Enforce Lost Loan Documents	90
12	Exhibit 3	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Request for Production	96
14	Exhibit 4	Plaintiff Notice of Service of Answers	111
15		to Interrogatories	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	CALABASAS, CALIFORNIA;
2	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 14, 2012; 10:07 A.M.
3	
4	MICHELE SJOLANDER,
5	having been duly sworn, was examined
6	and testified as follows:
7	
8	EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. LUNDERGAN:
10	Q Ms. Sjolander, can you please state your full name
11	for the record?
12	A Michele Sjolander.
13	Q Is it okay if I call you "Michele"?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Michele, who do you work for?
16	A Currently, I work for Bank of America.
17	Q And what is your title?
18	A Senior Vice President.
19	Q And what's the address where you work?
20	A 4500 Park Granada, Calabasas, California.
21	Q Thank you. Before we get into other questions, I
22	just want to go over some preliminary matters, and I know that
23	you've sat for other depositions before; so you may have heard
24	these previously, but just so everyone is on the same page, if
25	you could wait until I finish asking my question so that the

court reporter can get it all typed out before you start to answer, and I'll also try to make sure I wait until you've finished answering before I move on to the next answer. That way the court reporter doesn't have to try to listen to two people at the same time.

If you can please keep your answers to verbal answers. I can't see you; so I don't know if you are shaking your head "Yes" or "No," and if you say "Uh-huh," it doesn't come across readable on the transcript. So try to keep your answers to verbal answers and no gestures and things like that.

If you don't understand something I'm asking, please tell me you don't understand, and I'll try to rephrase it or re-word it so that I can help you understand the question.

Your attorney may have some objections, but unless he instructs you not to answer, please answer the question.

In addition, because this is a telephonic deposition, I would ask that you refrain from any text messaging, emails, things of that nature, while the deposition is ongoing.

And I also want to clarify for the record that there is only going to be one attorney speaking.

Is that correct, Travis? You are not going to be speaking at the deposition. You are just here to observe.

MR. HARVEY: No. I'm not making that stipulation.

1	MS. LUNDERGAN: But you both are going to be conducting
2	because my understanding is only one attorney will be
3	conducting the deposition and one attorney will be defending
4	it. Not multiple attorneys defending the same deposition.
5	MR. TRINZ: No. Let me be clear. I'm defending this
6	is Jeff Trinz in with with Michele. I'm defending. The
7	only thing I would say is that Travis may have has more
8	knowledge of the case, the history of the case, and so forth.
9	So if a question comes up, he may be able to assist
10	with that. It's not defending the depo but just if something
11	comes up.
12	MS. LUNDERGAN: Right. I have no problem with him
13	talking to you. I just want to make sure he's not going to be
14	objecting
15	MR. TRINZ: No.
16	MS. LUNDERGAN: or instructing the witness
17	MR. TRINZ: No. No.
18	MS. LUNDERGAN: or anything of that nature. He is
19	here as counsel, things of that nature?
20	MR. TRINZ: Yes.
21	MR. HARVEY: Yes. I would agree with that.
22	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
23	Q Michele, do you understand everything that I've said
24	as far as the instructions?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Okay. Michele, you have been deposed before;
2	correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And how many times has that been now?
5	A Six.
6	Q Six times. And, recently, you were deposed in the
7	case of Clinton Kirby; is that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Was that your most recent deposition that you've
10	given?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. And at that deposition you talked about what
13	companies you have been an officer for. You stated that you
14	had been an officer of Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.
15	Is that correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And you also were an officer at one point of
18	Countrywide Bank, FSB.
19	Is that correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And now you, currently, stated that you are an
22	officer of Bank of America.
23	Correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Are these are those the only three corporations
	1

1	you've been a	an officer of?
2	A No	
3	Q Who	else have you been an officer of?
4	A Mai	ny different entities of Countrywide and the joint
5	ventures.	
6	Q Car	you tell me who those are?
7	AIO	cannot recall all of them.
8	Q Oka	y. Well, let's let's go through your
9	employment h	story then.
10	Aft	er you graduated from college, who did you work
11	for first?	
12	A War	rehouser Mortgage Company.
13	Q I'r	n sorry. Can you state that again? I can't hear
14	you.	
15	A War	rehouser Mortgage Company.
16	Q Oka	y. And then where did you work after that?
17	A Coi	untrywide Home Loans.
18	Q Cor	untrywide Home Loans, Inc.?
19	A Cou	untrywide. Yes.
20	Q And	d then where did you work?
21	A Bai	nk of America.
22	Q I'r	sorry. I don't know if it's your phone or where
23	it's position	ned, but I can't hear your responses. Can we try
24	to adjust the	at?
25	A Car	n I turn this?

1	MR.	TRINZ: Yeah. We'll turn it up a little bit.
2	MS.	LUNDERGAN: It's very faint. I'll try to turn my
3	volume up	too.
4	THE	COURT REPORTER: Off the record.
5		(Off the record from
6		10:12 a.m. to 10:13 a.m.)
7	MS.	LUNDERGAN: Can you read back the last question?
8		(Whereupon the record
9		was read as follows:
10		"Question: And then where
11		did you work?
12		"Answer: Bank of America.")
13	Q	BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Can you go ahead and answer that
14	question,	please?
15	A	Can you repeat it? I thought I answered that
16	question.	
17		(Whereupon the record
18		was read as follows:
19		"Question: And then where
20		did you work?
21		"Answer: Bank of America.")
22	THE	WITNESS: Bank of America was my answer.
23	Q	BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. So from your you had
24	that original	inal mortgage company you worked for. Then you
24 25		inal mortgage company you worked for. Then you r Countrywide. And then you work for Bank of

1 America. Going back to the question of what have been your 2 3 capacities as an officer, can you now state any other 4 companies that you've been an officer of other than 5 Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., Countrywide Bank, FSB, and Bank 6 of America? 7 I was on officer for different entities of 8 Countrywide. 9 And can you tell me those different entities that Q 10 you were an officer of? 11 There were many and I cannot recall all of them. Α 12 Is there anything that would help refresh your 13 recollection of that? 14 I would have to go back through years of history of -- of what I was an authorized signer for. 15 16 Okay. If you were an authorized signer, are you Q 17 considering yourself then an officer of that company? 18 Α Yes. 19 Were you at any time an officer of Okav. 20 Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, L.P.? 21 I had -- I had the authority -- the authority to 22 sign. As an officer. 2.3 Okay. But were you actually a named officer of the 24 corporation? 25 I was a named officer of Countrywide, yes.

1	Q And what was your title as an officer of Countrywide
2	Home Loans Servicing, L.P.?
3	A I had several titles. I was an EVP. Then I went to
4	a Senior Vice President and a managing director.
5	Q Okay. And Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, L.P.,
6	doesn't exist anymore; is that correct?
7	A Its successor is Bank of America, L.P.
8	Q But the actual Countrywide Home Loan Servicing,
9	L.P., doesn't exist?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Okay. So when I noticed this deposition of you as
12	an officer of Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, L.P., you
13	can't technically be an officer since that company doesn't
14	exist anymore; correct?
15	MR. TRINZ: I'm going to object as a legal conclusion
16	you are asking the witness.
17	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Can you, please, answer the
18	question?
19	A I don't understand the question.
20	Q Well, you just previously stated that Countrywide
21	Home Loan Servicing, L.P., doesn't exist; correct?
22	A It was I stated that it does not exist. Its
23	successor is/was Bank of America, L.P., Servicing L.P.
24	Q Correct.
25	A Yes.

1	Q And we noticed your deposition today as an officer
2	of Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P.; correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. But if that corporation doesn't exist
5	anymore, you can't technically be an officer of a corporation
6	that doesn't exist?
7	A I am an officer of its successor.
8	Q Okay. But you are not currently an officer of
9	Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P.; correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Okay. Going back to the depositions that you've
12	given previously, do you remember you stated there were six
13	depositions you believe you've done?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And how many of those were related to foreclosure
16	cases?
17	A Four to four or five.
18	Q And do you remember the names of any of those cases?
19	A Not offhand.
20	Q Is there anything that would help you remember that?
21	A I have a document in my office.
22	Q Can you can you go look at that?
23	A No. I can't.
24	MR. TRINZ: No. We're not getting it.
25	MS. LUNDERGAN: If is there something that would refresh

1	your recollection, you are not going to allow her to review
2	that?
3	MR. TRINZ: That's correct.
4	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: And what is the document called?
5	Does it have a title?
6	A No. It's my own writing.
7	Q Just some notes that you had taken?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Did you review those notes in the anticipation of
10	this deposition?
11	A No.
12	Q Can you please state, again, what your current title
13	is at Bank of America?
14	A Senior Vice President.
15	Q And what is your job responsibility as a Senior Vice
16	President?
17	A My current job responsibilities as of today?
18	Q Yes.
19	A Today I manage the loan delivery department and
20	operations.
21	Q And what does that mean?
22	A I run the GSE eligibility loan delivery, which is a
23	securitization of the loans into securities for Fannie,
24	Freddie, and Ginnie.
25	And my operations, I have collateral oversight.

1	Q Okay. What about in 2009? Was it the same job
2	responsibilities that you have today?
3	A No. I had more.
4	Q What else did you have?
5	A I had more departments underneath me.
6	Q Like what?
7	A I had a master file audit department.
8	I had a bond department.
9	I had a collateral deficiency.
10	Collateral management. And
11	Q And on behalf of who did you work in 2009?
12	A Who was my boss?
13	Q What company did you work for?
14	A In the beginning of 2009 I worked for Countrywide,
15	and I think in March I was Associate Day One, I became Bank of
16	America.
17	Q And what was your title when you were with
18	Countrywide in 2009?
19	A Countrywide in 2009 I was a Managing Director.
20	Q In your current capacity, have you received any
21	training?
22	A That's pretty broad. Training in what?
23	Q You just listed what you do currently today in your
24	capacity for Bank of America.
25	Did you receive any training to do those jobs you do

1	today?
2	A I've been doing these jobs for 15 years.
3	Q Okay. So when you became part of Bank of America,
4	you didn't receive any additional training?
5	A No. We kept Countrywide legacy, Countrywide systems
6	and processes.
7	Q Okay. How about when you were working for
8	Countrywide? Did you receive any training in doing the jobs
9	that you outlined for me when you were working for
10	Countrywide?
11	A As I took on new responsibilities, I received
12	training.
13	Q And what kind of training was that? Was it, you
14	know, written training that you read, or was it an in-class
15	type of training?
16	A A variety.
17	Q Like can you tell me about those?
18	A I had one-on-one training with the previous Managing
19	Director.
20	I had training with the associates on systems.
21	I had class training regarding conduct and H.R.
22	issues.
23	I would receive monthly classes that you would take
24	on the web.
25	Q And what were those regarding?

1	A Mostly H.R. Reg "W." Variety of different classes.
2	Q Was any of the training that you received in the
3	form of written policies or handbooks?
4	A Of reading handbooks or
5	Q Yeah. Something that you could read.
6	A Yes. There was always there is handbooks
7	included in the on on-the-web training.
8	Q Okay. And is that still true today there are
9	handbooks for the job positions that you do?
10	A Are you asking for typical responsibilities or
11	handbooks regarding Reg "W," and H.Rrelated issues? That's
12	what I'm saying yes to.
13	Q Okay. Are there
14	A There are policies
15	Q handbooks that deal with what you do today,
16	whether it's
17	A There are policies
18	Q you listed loan delivery operations, different
19	things that you do.
20	Are there any handbooks today regarding those
21	functions that you do today on a day-to-day basis?
22	A There are policies and procedures that have been
23	created regarding the job duties of my departments.
24	Q And where are those kept?
25	A Mostly are kept within or secondary marketing

1 databases on-line. 2 Okay. And are they all stored in the same location 3 or are they in different locations depending on what the topic 4 is? 5 They're all stored in -- currently, they're all 6 stored in our SharePoint site. 7 Have those policies changed from 2009 to now? 8 Α Yes. 9 How have they changed? Q 10 Α As jobs changed, the policies changed. 11 Can you give me an example? Q 12 Well, an example is I don't have master file audit 13 anymore; so it is no longer within my job -- in my -- my 14 SharePoint site. 15 But has the actual policy changed or you just no 16 longer do that? 17 Oh, I can't comment on the policy. Now that policy 18 falls in a different area. 19 How about the jobs --20 But there are policies --Α 21 -- that you still do? Have any of the policies of Q 22 the jobs that you still do changed from 2009 to now? 23 Of my associates that report to me, yes, their jobs 24 have changed as well. 25 And as their jobs change or systems change or there

1	is account	cing changes, the policies are updated accordingly.
2	Q	Okay. And do they store the old policies in a
3	system as	well as the new policies?
4	А	I can't answer that. I don't I don't know.
5	Q	Do you know who would know that?
6	А	Our risk.
7	Q	I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
8	А	Our risk department.
9	Q	The risk department.
10		And who is in charge of the risk department?
11	А	For secondary marketing Cindy Tutor.
12	Q	Okay. Have you reviewed any of the policies in
13	anticipati	ion of the deposition today?
14	A	No.
15	Q	How many people do you have in your department?
16	A	As of today, I have approximately 20 to 30 people.
17	Q	And you work out of the California location; is that
18	correct?	
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Do you have offices as well in the Texas and Tampa
21	locations	?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Where do you work when you go to those locations?
24	A	In the in an assigned area.
25	Q	Have you ever had signing authority on behalf of

1	MERS?
2	A No.
3	Q Are you familiar with the MERS system?
4	A No. I know what MERS is.
5	Q Did you ever
6	A I've never been on system.
7	Q know what MERS is?
8	A I know what MERS is. When you just said the word
9	"system," I thought it meant I took it as you going on the
10	system and applying in MERS. No.
11	Q Okay. But you are familiar with MERS itself?
12	A I know what MERS is. Yes.
13	Q Okay. Does anyone in your department have access to
14	MERS?
15	A No.
16	Q Do you?
17	A Access in the sense of let me just access in
18	the sense of going in and doing MERS, signing a loan into
19	MERS?
20	Q Access let's break it up into two parts.
21	Is anyone in your department an officer of MERS?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you know anyone in Bank of America who is an
24	officer of MERS?
25	A I would think so. Yes.

1	Q Okay. Can you tell me who those people would be?
2	A I In the MERS department?
3	Q Okay. So Bank of America has a MERS department?
4	A In servicing. Yes.
5	Q Okay. And how many people are in that MERS
6	department?
7	A It's completely out of the scope of my position.
8	Q You have no knowledge of who is in the MERS
9	department?
LO	A I know people that are in it. I don't know how many
11	people are in that department, no.
12	Q Okay. Can you give me some names of the people who
13	are in it?
L 4	A I can say "Christy Cafferty."
15	Q Okay. Is that the only name you know?
16	A That's my contact.
L 7	Q Okay. Do you know anybody else who works with
L 8	Christy in the MERS department?
L9	A Not personally.
20	Q Okay. Do you ever have any interaction with the
21	MERS department? You said that was your contact. What would
22	you use her for?
23	A If I had questions regarding a MERS Milestone or if
24	a loan was registered in MERS.
25	Q Okay. And then Christy would be the one that would

1	be able to access that information?
2	A I would contact her. I'm not sure who accesses it.
3	She gets back to me with my questions.
4	Q Okay. So you are familiar then with the MERS
5	documents such as the Milestones and the Min audit; correct?
6	A I know what a MERS Milestone is. Yes.
7	I do not know what a Min audit is. No.
8	I look at documentation and see if the note was
9	printed on Mom docs. I'm more on that end.
10	Q Tell me that just again. It was printed on Mom
11	docs?
12	THE COURT REPORTER: Could she repeat that? I couldn't
13	hear it?
14	MR. TRINZ: Can you repeat that? The court reporter
15	didn't pick up your last question.
16	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: I guess my question is I
17	wasn't clear what she said. You said "Mom docs"?
18	A Yes. M-o-m Docs.
19	Q Okay. And what is that?
20	A The documents are on are on MERS documentation
21	and they call them "Mom docs."
22	Q So it's the way you would access the MERS documents?
23	A No.
24	Q Okay. So what do you use Mom docs for?
25	A Those are the docs, documents, that the borrower has

1	in connection with the MERS. They're the note, the security
2	instrument. And they are on what we call Mom docs, M-o-m
3	docs.
4	Q Is that separate from your imaging system?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. How often in your your current position do
7	you have to access MERS information as far as asking Christy
8	for information?
9	A Only on when I have cases that come up.
10	Q Weekly? Monthly?
11	A Monthly.
12	Q Monthly. Do you have other than the companies
13	that you identified, do you have signing authority on behalf
14	of anybody else?
15	A I don't understand what you are saying. It's
16	open-ended.
17	Q Okay. Did you have are you do you currently
18	have signing authority on behalf of Bank of America?
19	A Yes.
20	Q How about Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Countrywide Bank, FSB?
23	A Yes.
24	Q How about Premium Capital Funding?
25	A No.

1	Q Any other companies that I haven't listed that you
2	have signing authority for?
3	A As I previously said, I have signing authority for
4	several entities of Countrywide or I should say had.
5	Countrywide is no longer in business as you know.
6	Q Okay. How about BAC Home Loans? Do you have
7	signing authority for them?
8	A BAC Home Loans?
9	Q Yes.
10	A The servicing L.P., yes.
11	Q Yes. You do have signing authority for them?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Was that a "Yes"?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. And when we talk about "signing authority,"
16	what types of documents can you sign on behalf of the entities
17	that you've stated that you have signing authority for?
18	A I have signing authority for my endorsement on the
19	collateral.
20	And I have signing authority for creation of LNA's,
21	if there is a loss note affidavit.
22	Q Okay. Anything else?
23	A Just collateral-related documents and execution of
24	GSE agreements.
25	Q What are those that you just said? The GSE?

GSE agreements. So anything with Fannie, Freddie, 1 Α 2 Ginnie. 3 For example, Ginnie for commitment authority. Fannie to approve a contract to transmit. Freddie, a bill of 4 5 sale. 6 Q So you have authority on behalf of Freddie Mac to 7 execute certain documents? Not on behalf of Freddie Mac. I have signing 8 9 authority on behalf of Bank of America to execute documents 10 pertaining to those -- to those agreements. 11 Okay. And you said the LNA. What was that? Q 12 That is a loss note affidavit. 13 Okay. And how often do you execute loss note Q 14 affidavits? 15 Α Never. 16 You never have executed a loss note affidavit? Q 17 No. I have not. Α 18 Q But you know you have the authority to do that? 19 Yes. Α 20 Is that in one of your handbooks or policies? Q 21 Α It is in -- that I have -- that I have authority or 22 the authority spelled out? 23 Q The authority spelled out. 24 Yes. My -- we do have procedures pertaining to 25 creation of loss note affidavits when we were doing them.

1	no longer my department no longer create or execute a loss
2	note affidavit.
3	Q What department does do the loss note affidavits?
4	A That would be post-closing.
5	Q Okay. And do you know anyone who works in that
6	department?
7	A Yes. I do.
8	Q Was there an answer?
9	A Yes. I do.
10	Q I don't know if it is cutting out is it
11	cutting out for you guys when I speak?
12	MR. TRINZ: We can hear you clearly.
13	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. For whatever reason I'm still
14	having a hard time hearing her.
15	MR. TRINZ: Is there more volume?
16	THE WITNESS: If we turn on the volume, I think we're
17	going to get that back.
18	MR. TRINZ: Oh.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm talking the same. I'm not changing my
20	tone. I'm talking the same.
21	MR. TRINZ: We'll move the phone a little closer. So we
22	don't want it to fall off the table.
23	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: What I need you to do for me,
24	Michele, is can you walk me through how a typical loan moves
25	through your systems?

1 What I'm referencing is, if it was not an original 2 lender, if this was not an original Bank of America loan and 3 it was not an original Countrywide loan, but you received it 4 from a third party, how does that loan make it into your 5 system in your physical possession? Where is the first place 6 it comes? 7 MR. TRINZ: Object to the form. 8 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: I can't hear. You might have 9 said something. It cut out. 10 MR. TRINZ: I said I object to the form of your 11 question. MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. We're going to have to figure out 12 13 another method because I only heard just "I," and it's cutting 14 out of the rest it. I don't know if we can try to re-start 15 the system or --16 THE COURT REPORTER: Off the record. 17 (Off the record from 18 10:35 a.m. to 10:41 a.m.) 19 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Going back to what we had talked 20 about, Michele, basically, I just wanted you to walk me 21 through the process of how these loans are received, either 22 from, you know, the original lender in the collateral files. 23 Can you walk me through, you know, how they're 24 received, where they go, and how they get processed through 25 your system?

1 MR. TRINZ: I'm going to object to the form. BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Michele, can you answer that, 2 3 please? Are you guys there? 4 MR. TRINZ: Yes. We're here. I think you need to 5 clarify the question. Can you --6 Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Michele, did you understand my 7 question? 8 I don't. You are -- you need to clarify. Are 9 you -- you want me to walk -- and let me see if I'm 10 understanding it correctly. 11 You want me to walk you through how the collateral 12 is moved through -- through the company? Is that -- is that 13 what you are asking? 14 That's correct. Q 15 The collateral documentation? 16 Yes. That's correct. Q 17 Α Yes. So the collateral -- in this case, this is a 18 correspondent lending loan. The collateral is received into 19 the correspondent lending vault in Tampa, Florida, and it is 20 logged in and imaged. 21 At that time it goes into the vault in -- for the 22 correspondent lending vault until the funding occurs on the 23 loan. 24 At the time of the funding, the collateral is moved 25 from the correspondent lending vault into our Tampa facility

1 vault. 2 And, actually, that's -- I'm speaking as of today. 3 If you want as of this loan, I'm -- in 2009? 4 Let's talk about today and then we'll talk about --5 Α Okay. 6 -- 2009. Q 7 Α So today --8 Q First, we'll talk about today. 9 Yes. It's two different processes. 10 But today, so the collateral then is moved from the 11 correspondent lending vault in Tampa to our Tampa vault, which 12 is "Rincon Trust." It is logged in there. 13 At that time an endorsement stamp is placed on the 14 note. 15 And it goes -- if it is pooled to a Fannie, Freddie, 16 or Ginnie security, it will then go for review and the 17 collateral will be reviewed against the transmission of the 18 data for Fannie, Freddie, or Ginnie. 19 At that time the collateral is looked at to make 20 sure it is true and original and placed -- after it passes, if 21 it's reviewed without a deficiency, it is then placed into the 22 vault. And it remains there --23 0 The vault in Tampa? 24 Α -- until --25 Yes.

1	And it will remain there until a release is filed on
2	the on the loan.
3	Q Okay. When you say, "a release is filed," a release
4	to who?
5	A It would depend. If it's if it's in a
6	foreclosure, a release will be requested by a foreclosure
7	attorney.
8	If it is paid off, a release will be requested by
9	the payoff department.
10	Q Do you know if a release was requested in this case?
11	A Yes. It was.
12	Q Have you reviewed that document?
13	A Yes. I have.
14	Q Did you review it in anticipation of today's
15	deposition?
16	A Yes. I did.
17	Q And do you have access to that today?
18	A I look I have access to the collateral
19	tracking of the collateral. Yes.
20	Q Okay. Then I would request that you can you guys
21	go ahead and email me that document, please?
22	MR. TRINZ: No.
23	MS. LUNDERGAN: Well, I'm entitled to anything she
24	reviews in anticipation of the deposition.
25	MR. TRINZ: I don't think that's the case.

1	MS. LUNDERGAN: Well, she stated that's where she's
2	getting her knowledge from, which she's testifying to, which
3	we're entitled to.
4	MR. TRINZ: Well, I disagree and we're not producing it.
5	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. So your position is that any
6	documents that she's basing her knowledge on today that she
7	reviewed to answer the questions, you are not willing to
8	produce?
9	MR. TRINZ: That's correct.
10	MS. LUNDERGAN: All right.
11	Q So you stated there was a release in this case?
12	A The system shows a release. Yes.
13	Q And do you know the date of that release?
14	A I think it was it was March 6th.
15	Q Of two thousand and
16	A I think it was I can't I can't tell you the
17	year. I just looked at the date.
18	Q Okay. Okay. So the basic outlines you've given me
19	is what is currently happening today; correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. Now let's talk about how it was in 2009.
22	A In 2009, it was very similar. The loan would be
23	logged into our collateral area in CLD.
24	And at the time of funding, the collateral then
25	would go to our Simi Valley vault and be logged in.

1	So it was the only difference is is the vault
2	location. In 2009 we did not have the Tampa facility.
3	Q Okay. In 2009
4	A I mean I'm sorry. Not 2009.
5	In 2007 when this loan funded. I'm sorry.
6	Q Your position, from reviewing the documents, that
7	you acquired this loan document in 2007?
8	A Yes. Well, 2008. But it funded in December of '07
9	and we acquired it in 2008, in January.
10	Q All right. And what did you look at to ascertain
11	that you acquired it in 2008?
12	A I looked at the system and the collateral, when the
13	collateral was logged into our facility, the collateral
14	processing.
15	Q When you say, "our facility," which entity are you
16	talking about?
17	A As I said, Countrywide and Bank of America was its
18	successor. So when I say "our" in this case, I mean
19	Countrywide and Bank of America. It's the same location.
20	Q But it wasn't Bank of America in 2008; correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. So which entity would it have been that
23	received this collateral in 2008?
24	A In 2008 it would have been Countrywide Bank.
25	Q FSB?

1	А	I would assume so. I don't have a timeline of when
2	the entit	ies changed names.
3	Q	And when did Countrywide cease to exist?
4	А	Can you repeat that?
5	Q	When did Countrywide cease to exist?
6	MR.	TRINZ: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.
7	Q	BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Can you, please, answer that?
8	A	Legal Day One, I recall, was January of 2009. I
9	mean July	of 2009. I'm sorry.
10	Q	So in July of 2009 was when Countrywide merged with
11	Bank of Ar	merica?
12	А	That is
13	MR.	TRINZ: Objection
14	THE	WITNESS: what we call Legal Day One.
15	MR.	TRINZ: to the form.
16		And I object to the form.
17	Q	BY MS. LUNDERGAN: And how do you know that that's
18	the date?	
19	А	That is what we call Legal Day One.
20	Q	All right. And when you say, "we call Legal Day
21	One," when	re did you get that from?
22	A	That's what Bank of America
23	Q	Was it
24	A	Written.
25	Q	It is written down?

1	A It was in all of our announcements.		
2	Q Okay. So it was something that they announced that		
3	that was going to be the day that you merged?		
4	A You are using		
5	MR. TRINZ: Objection as to the form.		
6	THE WITNESS: You are using words I did not say the		
7	word "merge." I said "Legal Day One." That is the day that		
8	we call that they called "Legal Day One."		
9	You would have to talk to the legal aspect of		
10	whether it was called a merge or I'm just testifying to the		
11	fact that that was Legal Day One.		
12	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. On Legal Day One, is that		
13	the date that you stopped being an employee of Countrywide and		
14	started being an employee of Bank of America?		
15	A No.		
16	Q Okay. When did that change occur?		
17	A On Associate Day One.		
18	Q And when was Associate Day One?		
19	A I do not know the exact date. It was between April		
20	of 2009. I I do not have the exact date. I do not know.		
21	It's just recollection from three years ago. So		
22	Q So you stated that under both systems, the 2009		
23	system and the current system, that the endorsements are		
24	placed on in the		
25	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm having a hard time hearing her.		

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. We're now having a hard time
2	hearing you.
3	MR. TRINZ: Right.
4	MS. LUNDERGAN: Sorry.
5	I'm asking the court reporter, is there anything
6	else we can do with this system because it's becoming really
7	difficult.
8	MR. TRINZ: It's better now. We just turned it up a
9	little bit. And just speak up a little bit. I think that
10	will help.
11	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. I don't even have speaker phone
12	now. I'm just on the regular phone.
13	MR. TRINZ: Okay. It's better now.
14	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
15	Q Going back to the question, you stated both in your
16	description of the 2009 and your description of the current
17	process, that you endorse the documents when they came in
18	along with the imaging system at that time.
19	Is that correct?
20	A I did not say I endorsed. I said the collateral
21	documents are endorsed upon arrival.
22	Q And did you ever work in that department that
23	endorsed the collateral?
24	A I did not never worked for no.
25	Q Did you ever supervise the department that endorsed

1	the collateral?
2	A No.
3	Q Okay. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
4	then of the endorsing of the promissory notes, do you?
5	A I have oversight to the I have collateral
6	oversight in which I do ensure that the processes of the
7	collateral I walk through and review them.
8	Q Okay. Can you explain to me what that means?
9	A It means I the department does not directly
10	report to me, but I have insight to the processes and
11	procedures that that department does.
12	Q And where does your knowledge of the process and
13	procedure come from?
14	A Mostly from doing audits.
15	Q Okay. And when you say, "doing audits," what does
16	that mean?
17	A I conduct a every six months an audit of the
18	facility.
19	Q Okay. And were you doing audits of the facilities
20	back in 2008?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And are you still doing audits of the facilities
23	today?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And what is it you are looking for when you are

1	doing audits of	of the facilities?
2	A I er	nsure that the procedures that the GSE's require
3	are in place.	
4	Q And	what procedures would those be?
5	A Thos	se will be the procedures on All Regs.
6	Q Okay	And what, specifically, are you looking for
7	when it comes	to endorsing a promissory note?
8	A That	the endorsement is placed in blank.
9	Q Are	you looking for all endorsements to be placed in
LO	blank?	
11	A The	final endorsement is an open endorsement in
12	blank	
13	Q Okay	7.
L 4	A c	or the let me rephrase that.
15	That	the endorsement chain is correct.
16	Q Okay	v. And when you are looking to see if the
17	endorsement ch	main is correct, what are you comparing that to
18	to make sure t	chat it's correct?
19	A I an	n looking at the note.
20	Q Are	you looking at the original promissory note
21	A Yes.	
22	Q c	or are you looking at the copy of the original
23	promissory not	e?
24	A I an	n looking at the original promissory note at that
25	time.	

1	Q Okay. And what on the original promissory note are
2	you looking at to make sure that the chain of endorsements is
3	correct?
4	A The endorsement chain.
5	Q Okay. But when you are looking at the endorsement
6	chain, how do you know it's correct? What are you looking at
7	to make sure that that endorsement chain is correct in the way
8	it's supposed to be?
9	A I ensure that the endorsement is follows the path
10	of our funding.
11	Q Okay. And so where do you compare it to find that
12	out, the funding? Is there something you are looking at to
13	make sure it matches up and make sure it follows what it is
14	supposed to follow?
15	A Another document. Sometimes I will be looking on
16	the system to ensure that it follows the the the
17	chain on the system.
18	In most cases I'm looking at the actual stamps to
19	ensure that what is what each entity is closed and opened
20	correctly.
21	Q Okay. And when you said you look at the system,
22	what is it on the system that you would be looking at?
23	A I'll look at the on the movement of investor
24	numbers.
25	Q Is that through your own system or are you talking

1	about the MERS system?
2	A That is through my own system.
3	Q What
4	A I am not looking at the assignment chain if that's
5	what you are asking.
6	Q No. That wasn't what I was asking.
7	What is the system called that you are looking at?
8	A It's a web secondary marketing website.
9	Q And is that the same system that you were using back
10	in 2008?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And are you still using it today?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And who inputs that information into that system?
15	A That the system goes through our investor
16	accounting.
17	Q And they would be the ones who would put in the
18	chain of ownership into that system?
19	A Well
20	MR. TRINZ: Objection as to form.
21	THE WITNESS: it
22	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Was that the department, the one
23	that puts in
24	A I think you are you are I'm not talking about
25	the chain of ownership here. I'm I'm looking at the

endorsement chain of the collateral versus how it -- ensuring 1 2 that it is correct. That the chain is correct going through. 3 I look at the system to ensure that -- to look at 4 investor changes and how the loan -- loan was sold to. And 5 how the investor change went through. 6 I do not want to confuse the two. 7 So you look at the endorsements to make sure each 8 endorsement follows in a proper chain so that it goes from A 9 to B, B to C, C to D; correct? 10 Yes. That is correct. 11 And then when you look on the actual system, you are Q 12 looking at the actual documentation that -- that shows the 13 change in actual ownership of the loan; correct? 14 I'm looking at -- no. 15 I'm looking at the investor change. The investor --16 how the investor is positioned. 17 So you are just looking --Q 18 I'm just looking at how the investor numbers have 19 moved through. It's a secondary marketing system. 20 looking at who was our first investor and how it moved 21 through -- through the different investor channels. Who was 22 the ultimate investor -- Fannie, Freddie, Ginnie. 2.3 0 Shouldn't that chain that's on-line match the chain 24 of endorsements on the note? 25 No. Because if it's an open endorsement, it

1	wouldn't say Ginnie as your final. It would just be an
2	open an endorsement in blank.
3	Q Okay. Besides the last person in the chain,
4	shouldn't the endorsements line up with the previous parties?
5	A The the endorsement on the document should be
6	lined up. The endorse if you are looking at my system, it
7	doesn't it does not read that way. No.
8	Q Okay. So the endorsements don't always follow the
9	information that's on your system?
10	A No. You're you're that is not correct. Two
11	different sources.
12	Q When you say, "two," I guess I'm not understanding
13	what it is you are saying.
14	Can you explain to me the two different sources?
15	A One is one is an investor. The the investor
16	number and how it moves through our systems.
17	And one is an endorsement chain, which is the chain
18	of title, of the endorsement on the note. Two different
19	things
20	Q But you do
21	A I look at our system for different reasons.
22	I was not finished. I'm sorry.
23	Q Okay. That's fine.
24	Do you ever check then the chain of title? I know
25	you are saying your system shows something different. But do

1 you ever check to see when you do your audits that the 2 endorsements properly follow the chain of title? 3 MR. TRINZ: Objection as to form. Title to what? THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm -- this is an endorsement, not 4 5 an assignment. So I'm speaking directly to the endorsement of 6 the note, not to the assignment chain. 7 And this is -- I'm looking at are the endorsements 8 open and closed correctly on the note; is the chain correct. 9 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. What I'm asking --10 Α And --11 -- and I think what you are getting at is to make Q 12 sure that it properly follows, like I said, from A to B, B to 13 C, C to D --14 Correct. Α 15 Q -- instead of going A to D, C to B. 16 You are saying you properly -- you look to see that 17 the chain follows that natural progression from one party to 18 the next --19 Α The correct possession. 20 -- correct? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. What I am asking is do you ever check that Q 23 that chain -- that A to B, B to C -- is really what occurred? 24 Is that part of your auditing to check and see --25 But --Α

1	Q that
2	A that is what occurred. There is no what really
3	occurred. The note is what the possession is. It's the
4	collateral. So that is what occurred.
5	And I guess that's why I'm being confused here
6	Q You
7	A because what occurred on the note is the
8	possession of the collateral, and that's what I am looking at.
9	Q Okay. How do you know that?
LO	A Because it is the note is the promise to pay.
11	It's your document your collateral.
12	Q But you don't ever check any you don't ever check
13	that? You just assume what I'm trying to understand
L 4	A I don't know what you want me to check.
15	Q if the endorsements are there
16	A I don't know what you want me to check, I guess.
L 7	Because the note is your promise to pay. That is your
18	collateral. That is your that is your underlining (sic)
19	document of what the borrower has to pay.
20	So I am checking to ensure that the endorsement
21	chain is followed correctly, A to B to C to D as you said
22	previously.
23	Q Okay. But you don't ever do any other you don't
24	check anything else? You look at it to see that it's A to B,
25	B to C, C to D?

1	A I ensure that it's correct. Yes.
2	Q Okay. Who decides let's go back then.
3	Are you are you still endorsing promissory notes
4	as far as is it your name still being
5	A No.
6	Q executed on endorsements?
7	No?
8	A No.
9	Q When did that stop?
10	A March April March or April of last year.
11	Q And why did it stop?
12	A Two two reasons. I had a heart attack and I was
13	out on leave and we needed to replace my signatures.
14	And the second reason was we decided to change
15	bank we went to Bank of America's processes after that.
16	Q Okay. And what is Bank of America's process?
17	A That the collateral is endorsed upon receipt of into
18	imaging. So prior to image
19	Q How is that different than the process that you
20	already had?
21	A The collateral would come in, be imaged, and then go
22	to the vault and have the endorsement placed.
23	Now the collateral comes in as the endorsement is
24	placed and the collateral is imaged.
25	Q Okay. So in the old system it would have endorsed

1	after it went to the vault?
2	A In the old system it would have can you repeat
3	that? Sorry.
4	Q You stated that Bank of America's system now is for
5	the document to be endorsed as soon as it comes into the
6	imaging; correct?
7	A As soon as it is logged in yes to collateral
8	processing. Yes.
9	Q Okay. Under the previous system, it would not have
10	been endorsed until it went through imaging and went to the
11	vault; correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. Why is there a change from the old system to
14	the new system?
15	A I was not here at that time.
16	Q Was that when you were out because of your heart
17	attack?
18	A I was out. Yes.
19	Q Okay. Have you ever personally executed any
20	endorsements?
21	A My with my my personally placed a stamp on a
22	note?
23	Q Yes.
24	A No.
25	Q Have you ever assigned any endorsements personally?

1	A A wet signature on an endorsement?
2	Q You actually physically signed an endorsement.
3	A Are you asking a wet signature on an endorsement on
4	a piece of collateral?
5	Q I'm saying have you ever physically signed an
6	endorsement on an original note?
7	MR. TRINZ: Object to the form.
8	THE WITNESS: My stamp has been placed on collateral on
9	a note.
10	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. That's not what I asked.
11	A Okay.
12	Q What I'm asking is have you ever physically
13	A That's what I was trying to
14	Q signed for a note?
15	A My wet signature has never been placed on an
16	original note. That is not common practice.
17	Q Now, you stated that the endorsements are stamps on
18	the collateral; is that correct?
19	A That is correct.
20	Q Has that always been the policy since 2008?
21	A Yes. And it is still policy.
22	Q Are they ever stamped in any other method or I'm
23	sorry.
24	Are they ever placed on there in any other method
25	other than stamping?

1	A No.
2	Q Are any endorsements ever what you called wet ink
3	signature where someone actually signs the endorsement?
4	A It is it is not not none of mine have been.
5	Q Okay. How about anyone else's? Do you have any
6	knowledge if anyone else's signatures are
7	MR. TRINZ: Objection.
8	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: physically placed on versus
9	stamping?
10	MR. TRINZ: Objection. Outside of her knowledge.
11	THE WITNESS: Outside the scope of my business.
12	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Do you have any knowledge
13	then of that?
14	A No.
15	Q Okay. How long has you are no longer executing
16	endorsements, but when did you begin executing endorsements or
17	when did they begin using a stamped signature to execute your
18	endorsement?
19	A 2005.
20	Q And how did that arise? Was it something that they
21	asked you to do or was it something that became part of your
22	job position?
23	A Yes. My previous boss had left the company and my
24	stamp was replaced with his.
25	Q Okay. And how did they create the stamps? Did you

1	sign something for them to model the stamp after?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. Did you do that one time or have you done it
4	several times?
5	A Many, many times.
6	Q And why change the stamp?
7	A My title has changed. The entities have changed.
8	The old stamps got old. Were peeling back. Variety of
9	reasons.
10	Q And do you know how many stamps were issued with
11	your name on them?
12	A Not the exact number. But many.
13	Q Could you estimate? Is it more than 10? More than
14	100?
15	A More than more than 10.
16	Q Less than 50?
17	A I don't through the whole years, I can't even
18	give that answer.
19	Q Okay. And what happens to the stamps? What happens
20	to the old stamps when you execute new stamps?
21	A I collect them and burn them.
22	Q Okay. You physically collect them?
23	A Yes. Well, I don't physically go they
24	they give them the bank gives them back to me and I destroy
25	them.

1	Q Okay. Is there any written policy on who can use
2	your stamp?
3	A There is a power of attorney.
4	Q Okay. And does the power of attorney give a
5	specific person the ability to use your stamp or is it a group
6	of people?
7	A It's the the bank, the custodian is able to use
8	mine my stamp.
9	Q So the power of attorney gives power to who?
10	A To the custodian
11	Q And who is the custodian?
12	A to endorse collateral.
13	Who is the custodian now or at the time of
14	Mr. note?
15	Q Well, is there only one power of attorney or have
16	you executed more than one?
17	A There has been more than one power of attorney. As
18	entities change, the power of attorney is
19	Q Well, let's talk about in 2008. Who was the power
20	of attorney at that time?
21	A I gave a power of attorney to Countrywide Bank.
22	Q Anyone else?
23	A No. Only the custodian had power of attorney to
24	apply my stamp.
25	Q Okay. So in 2008 only Countrywide could put your

1	stamp on notes; is that correct?
2	A Only Countrywide Bank, yes. The custodian, yes.
3	Q How about in 2009?
4	A I would have to look at the chain of when the
5	custodian changed from Countrywide Bank. They were
6	Countrywide N.A. to Countrywide Bank, NSB, to "Rincon Trust."
7	I do not have the legal dates of when each entity
8	changed in my head.
9	Q Okay. But from your knowledge there have been
10	several powers of attorney?
11	A I've executed powers of attorney, yes.
12	Q Okay. And each time you execute a power of
13	attorney, it goes to an entity instead of individually named
14	people?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. Do you know the people who are using your
17	stamp currently?
18	A Currently no one is using my stamp.
19	Q I'm sorry. Did you know the people in 2008 who were
20	using your stamp?
21	A Do I know them personally? No.
22	Q How about in 2009?
23	A Did I know them personally? No. Do I know the
24	group of the department? Yes.
25	Q Okay. The people who were executing your

1	endorsements, is the power of attorney the only instructions
2	they got as to using your endorsements?
3	A You would have to check with the custodian on their
4	policies and procedures and their instructions.
5	Q You have no knowledge of what instructions they were
6	given?
7	A I do not know what their training is or how or
8	their policies and procedure. No.
9	Q Okay. Were there any limitations on the power of
10	attorney as to how they could use your endorsement?
11	A I would have to read the whole document. I don't
12	I have not read it in many, many years.
13	Q Okay. From what you remember, do you remember any
14	specifics about what the power of attorney said?
15	A I can't recall what the power of attorney said.
16	Q Okay. And do you keep copies of all the powers of
17	attorney?
18	A I do.
19	Q Okay. Are they in your personal files or are they
20	part of your Bank of America files?
21	A They are part of Bank of America files.
22	Q Okay. And if I wanted to find those, where would
23	they be?
24	A You would have to go back to the custodian and
25	request for the power of attorney through the custodian.

1	Q Okay. So they're not physically at Bank of
2	America's location?
3	A That is Bank of America locations. Our custodian
4	is
5	Q You said
6	A Our custodian is in is on the Bank of America
7	location in Simi Valley, Tampa, Fort Worth.
8	Q But they are a separate entity; correct?
9	A They are a separate entity. Yes.
10	Q So the power of attorney is stored at the at
11	their office versus the other buildings?
12	A The power I mean I yes. The the custodian
13	holds the power of attorney that they're using to execute my
14	stamp.
15	Q Okay. Is there anyone who oversees the actual
16	physical application of the endorsements on a day-to-day
17	basis?
18	A The custodian. Yes. There is a manager that
19	oversees that group.
20	Q Okay. And what's his name?
21	A It's her name. Lori Meter.
22	Q And does Lori currently oversee the physical
23	application of the endorsements?
24	A Does that department report to her? I do not know.
25	I would think so. I can't you would have to talk to the

1 custodian of -- the reporting structure of the custodian. 2 Okay. Well, you said Lori over -- Lori was the 3 person who was overseeing the physical application. 4 Is that currently her position or are you stating 5 that was her position? 6 I know when my signature was applied, or my stamp, 7 it was her position. My stamp is no longer applied. I don't know if that is still her position or if it is other -- if she 8 9 has people reporting to her that oversee that. You would have 10 to speak to the custodian of how their reporting structure is. 11 It's out of my scope. 12 Is there any time that your stamp was being used 13 Lori Meter oversaw the application of the endorsements? 14 Lori Meter was my contact person for the associates 15 and Maria Gardener was my risk contact in the -- the 16 compliance officer. 17 And isn't it true that Lori Meter also has her Q 18 signature endorsed? 19 Α Yes. 20 And did Lori Meter have a stamp as well as the stamp 0 21 that you had? 22 Not for the servicing L.P. but, yes, she does have 23 a stamp for Countrywide Bank FSB. 24 And not for the servicing L.P. is that because she 25 didn't endorse on behalf of them or because she didn't have a

1	stamp?
2	A Because she did not endorse on behalf of the of
3	the servicer. She only endorsed on behalf of the custodian,
4	the bank.
5	Q Okay. When the collateral file comes in, how does
6	it get triggered for an endorsement? What I'm saying is how
7	does the department know it needs an endorsement?
8	A As I said, the collateral file are you today's
9	practices or back in '07 or '08?
LO	Q Let's start back in 2008.
11	A Back in 2008 at every every piece of collateral
12	that went into the custodian, into collateral, the processing
13	area, received the correct endorsement chain and stamp.
L 4	Q So every collateral that came in received the chain
15	of endorsements?
16	A Well, yeah. The the entity chain is an
L 7	endorsement in blank.
18	Q But on some promissory notes there are multiple
L9	endorsements; correct?
20	A Yes. Because it has to show the chain of the
21	ownership of the loan.
22	Q Okay. And if I'm the person who is sitting down and
23	going to stamp these documents, how do I know which
24	endorsements it needs?
25	A You would have to go and ask Lori what her policies

1 and procedures are and what the custodian's policies and 2 procedures were at that time. 3 Okay. So you have no knowledge as to how the people 4 who are stamping these documents know which stamps to use? 5 Well, when you say, "no knowledge," I know how 6 they -- how they ran through the chain. But I -- I'm not 7 going to speak on their polices and procedures and how their 8 training was and how they know. You would have to talk to the 9 custodian. 10 Okay. When you say you know how they ran through 11 the chain, what does that mean? 12 Well, I can see that they would logistically look 13 that it was how -- what the entity -- what the closing entity 14 needed to be and how to get there. 15 Okay. And what did they look at to determine that? 16 You would have to -- it's not in the scope of my 17 department. 18 Okay. But you said you have knowledge of it. Q 19 what is your understanding of it? 20 The knowledge of how they look at the chain and of 21 how -- I guess I would say my knowledge is my personal 22 knowledge. Let's go there. My knowledge is my personal 23 knowledge --24 Q But --25 -- of how to apply an endorsement.

1 I do not know how they were trained or what their 2 policies and procedures were to apply the endorsement chain on 3 the note. 4 Okay. Well, you said your personal knowledge. From 5 your personal knowledge, how do they determine which 6 endorsement to place on the note? 7 Well, if -- they will look at each -- each note is 8 different. I guess without me having a piece of collateral in 9 front of me and explaining it, it's very difficult. 10 Okay. Well, let's look at the one in this case. 11 You really have look -- so we could look at the one 12 in this case. 13 So the one in this case, they're receiving it. is actually an allonge. 14 15 MR. TRINZ: Hold on a second. Do you want to mark this 16 as an exhibit? 17 THE WITNESS: They already have it as an exhibit. MS. LUNDERGAN: Let's go ahead and mark this as 18 19 Exhibit 1. 20 MR. TRINZ: Okay. Just the allonge or the note? What 21 is it you want? 2.2 MS. LUNDERGAN: Are we looking at -- what I would like 23 you to show her, I guess, is attached to the amended 24 complaint. 25 MR. TRINZ: Okay. Hold on.

1	MS. LUNDERGAN: We can go ahead and turn to the page
2	with the allonge.
3	MR. TRINZ: Hang on one second. Okay.
4	Do you want to mark the entire amended complaint as
5	an exhibit or just the note and allonge?
6	MS. LUNDERGAN: Let's mark Exhibit 1 the entire amended
7	complaint.
8	MR. TRINZ: Hold on a second. Let's make sure it's not
9	mixed with something else. It's clipped. That will be the
10	complaint and the exhibit. Hold on one second. Okay.
11	Page 1 is "Amended Complaint to Foreclosed
12	Mortgage." The last page is from Stern's office oh
13	is the lis pendens. Is that correct? I want to make sure we
14	have the proper exhibit.
15	MS. LUNDERGAN: Is it the the amended complaint, not
16	the original complaint?
17	MR. TRINZ: No. It says amended complaint.
18	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
19	MR. TRINZ: And the last two pages are the lis pendens
20	from Stern's office.
21	MS. LUNDERGAN: That should be it then. Can you go
22	ahead and turn to the allonge?
23	MR. TRINZ: Okay. It's been marked as 1, and you want
24	her to turn to the note and the allonge?
25	MS. LUNDERGAN: Yes. Please.

1	(Whereupon Defendants' Exhibit No. 1
2	was marked for Identification.)
3	MR. TRINZ: Okay. All right. We have the note and the
4	allonge. Go ahead.
5	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Looking at this allonge,
6	is it fair to say that when it would have arrived at your
7	office, it would have already had the portion of allonge that
8	is typed that says Premium Capital Funding, borrower name,
9	property address, loan amount, closing date.
10	Is that correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. Would it have had the stamp above the line
13	that says Countrywide Bank, FSB?
14	A No.
15	Q Okay. So it would have come to you as a blank
16	endorsement; correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Now, if this was received by someone who was
19	supposed to add the endorsements, how would they know to add
20	these three stamps to this document?
21	A I can answer how I would know.
22	Q Okay.
23	MR. TRINZ: And I'm just going to interpose. Object to
24	the form on that.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I cannot answer what the custodian

1 did or what the custodian's policies or procedures were or 2 training. I can say this is how I would look at this -- this 3 open endorsement. 4 MR. TRINZ: I'm also objecting because you seem to 5 assume that all the stamps are applied at the same time, and I 6 don't know that that's the case. 7 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Well, okay. Lori, how -- or, 8 Michele, how would we know whether these -- you testified 9 previously that the collateral would come in and the 10 endorsements would be executed. 11 Is that correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. Would endorsements be executed all at once or Q 14 were they executed at different times? 15 All at once. Α 16 Okay. So we can assume then from your knowledge of Q 17 the general procedures that these three stamps were executed 18 all at the same time; correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 Okay. So from your knowledge what would you do to 21 determine what stamps to place on this allonge? 22 From my knowledge I would know that the -- I know, Α 23 because I'm secondary marketing, that the loan is funded in 24 Countrywide Bank, FSB's, name. So the pay to the order of 25 Countrywide Bank, FSB, that was the cash.

1 And then it was sold from the bank to the mortgage 2 company. So it went from Lori Meter's stamp from Countrywide 3 Bank, FSB, to Countrywide Loan Servicing, L.P. 4 Then I sold it out of the mortgage company, or in 5 this case when the stamp was applied, it was sold to Ginnie. 6 And my stamp is applied that says Countrywide Homes, L.P., to 7 pay to the order of blank. 8 And that is a common practice to leave the 9 endorsement open for all -- per All Regs and the guidance of 10 the GSC's. 11 Okay. So we're in agreement then that all three of 12 these stamps were executed at the same time; correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. Was there actually a physical transfer of 15 this original note from Countrywide Bank, FSB, to Countrywide 16 Home Loan Servicing, L.P., to Ginnie Mae? 17 Α In the vault, yes. 18 Q Okay. So the note physically remained in a vault 19 during all times? 20 Well, the note, yes; but when it's sold to Ginnie, 21 it's then isolated in the vault in the Ginnie Mae portfolio 22 area. 23 Okay. But it's not actually transferred to Ginnie 24 Mae, to their actual headquarters? 25 The endorsement -- the pay to the order of, yes,

remains blank unless something happens and Ginnie Mae wants to 1 then close their endorsement. 2 3 That's -- once the loan is sold to Ginnie, it is their -- however, they want. If they would like to close the 4 5 endorsement, they could. 6 Q Okay. So even though all these endorsements were 7 executed from Countrywide Bank, FSB, to Countrywide Home Loan 8 Servicing, L.P., to what you stated was in blank to Ginnie Mae 9 the loan actually -- the original loan actually stayed in the 10 vault. 11 Is that correct? 12 MR. TRINZ: Object to the form. 13 THE WITNESS: Are you talking about the collateral? 14 The collateral would stay in the -- after the 15 endorsements are placed, the collateral then would go and be 16 reviewed, and then it would be placed in the vault, and the 17 collateral would remain in the vault. In this case it 18 remained in the Ginnie vault. 19 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: There was no -- there was no 20 physical transfer of the collateral from Countrywide Home 21 Loans Servicing, L.P., to Countrywide Home Loans -- or from 22 Countrywide Bank, FSB, to Countrywide Home Servicing, L.P.? 23 What I'm saying is this loan didn't actually leave 24 that location and go to another location --

25

Δ

Correct.

1	Q from Countrywide Bank, FSB, to Countrywide Home
2	Loan Servicing, L.P.; correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Okay. You stated previously that you knew that this
5	loan was to be transferred to Countrywide Bank, FSB.
6	How would you know, if this came in as a blank, a
7	blank endorsement, who it was being transferred to?
8	A Well, I knew at that time that Countrywide Bank,
9	FSB, funded funded our loans so that the money that was
10	used
11	Q So you would it would just go off of it would
12	just go off of the time frame. So at that time you knew the
13	loans coming in were being funded by Countrywide Bank, FSB;
14	correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. Do you know who the current owner is of this
17	loan that we're talking about today?
18	A I have not researched that.
19	Q Okay. You stated that this loan was transferred to
20	Ginnie Mae; is that correct?
21	A It was sold to Ginnie Mae. Yes.
22	Q Okay. Are you aware that the loan has been pulled
23	from Ginnie Mae to Bank of America?
24	A Re-purchased, you mean?
25	Q Yes.

1	A I I don't I did not look at that.
2	Q Okay. Did you review any documents with regards to
3	this original this this loan before the deposition?
4	A Yes. The allonge.
5	Q What did you review?
6	A The allonge.
7	Q Go on.
8	A I reviewed the allonge.
9	I reviewed the commitment history to see how the
10	loan was moved there. So how when it was received into our
11	collateral processing area.
12	It was reviewed without a deficiency. It went to
13	the Ginnie vault. And then, as I said, it was released.
14	I reviewed the collateral, more of the collateral,
15	and of the loan.
16	Q Okay. Are endorsements ever put on by a printer?
17	A No.
18	Q Never? Since 2008 to now your position is they've
19	never been put on by a printer?
20	A They are printed on by a person, by a stamp.
21	Q They're always they're always done by stamps?
22	A These are stamps. Yes.
23	Q And they it's always been done that way? It's
24	always been a stamp?
25	A To my knowledge.

1 0 When the endorsements are created, is there any 2 documentation that's done simultaneously to document who put 3 on these endorsements or when they were done? 4 MR. TRINZ: Objection as to form. 5 THE WITNESS: As I said, this is not in the scope of my 6 department. 7 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. But is it in the scope of 8 your knowledge? 9 No. I don't know. 10 So you have no idea if the people who are using your Q 11 stamps, do they make a note of when they used it and then in 12 what circumstance? 13 Correct. 14 Do you know why you don't personally stamp Q 15 documents? 16 It was not common business practice. The common 17 business practice is that the note is most secure with the 18 custodian, and that the stamp would be applied at the 19 custodian in the vault. 20 It would not -- the note would not be secure if it 21 was sent to my office for me to endorse it. It's all about 22 the security of the note. 23 Okay. And it's always been done that way since 24 you've been involved in 2008? 25 Yes. Α

1	Q Do you know what kind of you are stating you
2	have, you know because it's secure and that's why you do it
3	that way.
4	Have you ever come across the situation where the
5	original note has been lost?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. And, typically, is that something that it's
8	been lost because the original lender lost it or was it
9	because, once you received it, it somehow was lost?
10	MR. TRINZ: Objection. Calls for speculation.
11	Do you have a specific instance you want to
12	reference?
13	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: How about in this case? Are you
14	aware that, when the complaint was filed, it alleged that the
15	note was lost?
16	A No. I'm not aware.
17	Q Okay. Is there anything that you've reviewed that
18	shows the circumstances regarding the lost note in this case?
19	A No. I I this no.
20	Q Okay. If I were to look in your system, where would
21	I look to find out whether there were any problems with the
22	note being lost?
23	A I our servicing system.
24	Q That's where you would keep track of notes that
25	become lost?

1 I -- I'm not talking about notes that have been 2 lost. I'm talking about this particular note. And in this 3 case the collateral was not lost. Up until Ginnie, I don't 4 show it ever being lost. And so after it hit servicing and 5 the years that go by, then it would have to be noted in 6 servicing if the collateral was misplaced or lost. 7 At the time when I looked at this loan, it did not 8 show anything of the collateral being lost. The collateral 9 was reviewed without deficiency which ensures --10 Q And when was that done, the review? 11 Α As it was logged into the custodian. I think it was 12 like --13 Q You testified --14 -- January -- and I'm just going off memory. 15 around January 16th, I think. 16 Q Of? 17 Of '08. Α 18 Okay. So as of January 16th, 2008, based on your 19 memory, there was nothing in the system showing that this note 20 was lost? 21 Α Correct. 22 Okay. And if I wanted to look today to see whether Q 23 there were any notes made as to whether or not this note was 24 lost, I would look to the servicing records? 25 Α Yes.

1	Q How about in general? Is that, generally, where any
2	documentation is made regarding a lost note?
3	A You would have to talk to that department that
4	creates the lost notes.
5	Q Okay. Who creates the lost notes?
6	A Post-closing.
7	Q Okay. And what where is that department located?
8	A I think I think the department is located in
9	several different areas.
10	Q Okay. In what areas would those be?
11	A To my knowledge Simi Valley and I do not know if
12	they have people in Fort Worth or not.
13	Q Okay. And do you know anyone who works in those
14	departments?
15	A Yes. I do.
16	Q Okay. And what would their names be?
17	A "Allen Colluse."
18	Q Okay. And where does he work out of?
19	A To my knowledge he's located in Simi Valley.
20	Q Okay. Anyone else?
21	A That's my contact.
22	Q Okay. And so that would be the department who would
23	make notes if it was discovered that the note was lost?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And would anybody else be involved in that other

1	than that department?
2	A The creation of the lost note affidavit?
3	Q Let's start generally. If it was discovered that a
4	note was lost, who would be involved in documenting that and
5	that sort of information?
6	A That is so open-ended that I couldn't even begin to
7	answer.
8	Q Okay. If it was discovered that a note was lost,
9	what department handles that? Is it only that department that
LO	you discussed?
11	A If a note if if a loan is reviewed, gets to
12	the custodian and does not have the original note, a
13	deficiency is placed on the system.
L 4	There are several areas that can review that
15	deficiency, including my group, that can see that there was
L6	that there is a deficiency on the note and the collateral
L 7	the note well, the note has been a lost note affidavit
L 8	might need to be corrected created.
L9	Q Okay. So that's when the loan is originally
20	received. What if it's lost after that point?
21	A And that's exactly what I said. That is completely
22	out of my scope.
23	Q Okay. You have no knowledge then if a note is lost
24	after that point?
25	A No.

1	Q Who would be involved?
2	A It would be "Allen Colluse's" area.
3	Q Okay. That's what I'm trying to understand.
4	So Allen handles any notes that are lost after they
5	come in and the review is done. You stated that when they
6	came in and you did the collateral review, if there were any
7	problems at that time, it would be documented?
8	A Right. And he he would then be alerted as well
9	at that time. So his group, to the best of my knowledge, is
10	the group that is alerted if a lost note affidavit needs to be
11	created. Whether it is at the time of review, at the time of
12	receipt, or, I guess, when you are as you are saying for
13	services.
14	You would have to talk to those departments. It is
15	completely post-closing is completely out of my scope.
16	Q Okay. And out of your knowledge? You have no
17	knowledge of those areas?
18	A I have no knowledge of their policies and
19	procedures.
20	Q Okay. You talked about the deficiencies and the
21	collateral file. What type of deficiencies are you looking
22	for?
23	A Am I looking for? I just look to see if a loan
24	has a collateral deficiency, I cannot sell it.
25	Q Okay. And what do you mean "collateral deficiency"?

1 What are some examples of collateral deficiencies? 2 There could be -- the borrower could not have 3 initialed the second page of the note. There could be --4 5 Okay. Q 6 -- a rider. An issue with the rider. 7 An issue with language. If it's a "seema," you need to go collect the old 8 9 note. 10 There is a variety of reasons why a loan needs to be 11 reviewed and to ensure that the collateral, all the pieces of collateral, are there. 12 13 Okay. You testified in your deposition with 14 Mr. Kirby that you had a checklist of things that you reviewed 15 when you did your audits. 16 Α Yes. 17 What kind of things are on that checklist? Q 18 Α I have -- I send a list of loans out that I want to 19 pull out of the vault to ensure that the collateral is all in 20 the file. 21 I look at the endorsements. I look at their 22 safekeeping. 23 I look at the fire and ensure that there is no --24 it's up to fire code. 25 Many of my checklist items are just found in All

1	Regs and it's I ensure that we're following the same
2	guidance that the GSC's give us.
3	Q Is this a written checklist that you are referring
4	to?
5	A Yeah.
6	Q Okay. And where is that stored?
7	A In my computer.
8	Q Okay. To your knowledge has an audit ever been done
9	on the loan that's the subject of this case?
10	A I I look at many loans. I can't to my
11	knowledge, no. But I can't tell you that for sure.
12	Q If we wanted to find out whether an audit had been
13	done, is there a way to search for that?
14	A I don't keep track of the loans I look at. No.
15	Q Okay. If you had audited this loan and found a
16	problem, would there be any documentation of that?
17	A Yes. There would.
18	Q And where would that be?
19	A In the collateral deficiency post-closing system.
20	Q Okay. And if you had audited this file and not
21	found any deficiencies, then am I correct there would be no
22	documentation of the audit?
23	A That is correct.
24	Q Okay. Is one of the things that you look for in the
25	collateral deficiency audit to find out whether there are

1 powers of attorney? It's not a collateral deficiency audit. It's a -- I 2 3 don't look for deficiencies. I don't try to find deficiencies. 4 5 Okay. Then what would you call it? 6 Just an audit. A QC audit. 7 Okay. In your QC audit is one of the things that Q 8 you look for whether or not the powers of attorneys that are 9 required to be there, is that one of the things you look for? 10 If the loan was signed for power of attorney, yes, I 11 will look for power of attorney in the file. 12 0 Okay. And when we're talking about that type of a 13 power of attorney -- I'm just trying to picture what that 14 would look like. 15 Is that where we're talking about, for instance, if 16 there were two borrowers and one borrower wouldn't make it to 17 the closing, the other borrower would sign with their power of 18 attorney? Is that what we're talking about? 19 I -- yes. Or a trust. Yes. 20 Okay. Is the powers of attorney that -- what I'm 21 picturing or what I'm thinking -- for instance, there is a 22 husband and wife. And the wife can't be there that day to 23 sign the loan. 24 She might execute a power of attorney giving him 25 authority to sign the loan for her; correct? Is that kind of

1 what you are looking for? Those types of power of attorneys? I don't think I go into that detail. 2 I look to see 3 if the -- I look at the signatures of the note to see if a 4 power of attorney was needed. 5 Okay. And when you say you look at the signature of Q 6 the note, so if someone had signed as attorney in fact for 7 somebody else, you would know that that needed a power of 8 attorney; right? 9 I would look for a power of attorney in the file or 10 a copy. Actually, a copy of the power of attorney, I should 11 say. 12 You know what --13 Okay. So that's how it would trigger you to look 14 for a power of attorney, to look at that signature? 15 -- can we pause here? 16 MR. TRINZ: Amanda, she needs a take a quick break. 17 Yes. Real quick. 18 MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. 19 MR. TRINZ: We'll go off the record. 20 (Off the record from 21 11:37 a.m. to 11:51 a.m.) 22 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Michele, before we get back into Q 23 the questions, I need to ask you did you have any 24 conversations with your attorney about this deposition while 25 you were on break?

1	A Yes.
2	MR. TRINZ: I have to also
3	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: And you want to tell me what
4	those conversations were?
5	A We talked about the tenses.
6	Q Okay. And what specifically was that?
7	A How sometimes you are saying I'm very confused of
8	when it's when you are talking about 2007 or 2008, when the
9	loan funded, and then today's practices. And as
10	Q Okay.
11	A our company has evolved.
12	Q And were you
13	A Okay. I was
14	Q Okay. So you were asking your attorney about the
15	tenses?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And did he give you any instructions?
18	MR. TRINZ: I'm going to object. It's attorney-client
19	privilege.
20	But the the short answer is we did not discuss
21	anything of substance, other than I asked her how she felt
22	because she has to take medication, which is why she left to
23	take medication.
24	MS. LUNDERGAN: Well, she was stating she was discussing
25	the tenses of my questions, and if she's discussing my

1 questions on the break, I have a right to that. THE WITNESS: It was not a specific question. 2 3 just --MR. TRINZ: She just told you that was her -- she 4 5 expressed a concern to me. So that was the extent of the 6 conversation. 7 MS. LUNDERGAN: If you could just let her just answer 8 those questions. 9 So, Ms. Sjolander, you simply stated to your Q 10 attorney you were having difficulty understanding the tenses; 11 is that correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 And did he give you any advice in response to that? Q 14 Α Just for me to further clarify it with you. 15 Okay. And was that the substance of your 16 conversation during the break? 17 Other than us talking about computers and my heart 18 attack. 19 Going back to the question, we are talking 20 about how you would review a file to see if it needed a power 21 of attorney and how, in order to determine that, you would 22 look to see whether the signatures had been signed as power of 23 attorney; correct? Yeah. If the -- if the -- yes. Attorney in fact. 24 25 Right.

1 Okay. So let's look then at your endorsement of Q 2 this promissory note in this case. 3 How do I know, by looking at that endorsement, that 4 somebody is signing as power of attorney for you? 5 Well, someone is not signing as power of attorney 6 for me. They are placing my signature stamp. 7 But someone has a power of attorney to do that? Q 8 Yes. They have a power of attorney to place my 9 signature stamp. They are not putting my wet signature on it. 10 Q Okay. And how do I know from that endorsement that 11 somebody has had the power of attorney to stamp your name to a 12 document? 13 Α I just told you. 14 But if I was just -- if I didn't know you and I was 15 just looking at this endorsement, is there any way to tell 16 from that endorsement that somebody is executing this as your 17 power of attorney? 18 Common practice. I think if you know the mortgage 19 industry, you would know a stamp is placed. 20 Okay. Q 21 If you do not --Α 22 Okay. But there is nothing, other than the Q 23 document, that shows somebody is executing this as a power of 24 attorney; correct? 25 Α No. Correct.

1 Okay. And do you know why it's done this way Q 2 instead of having that person sign their name as power of 3 attorney for you? This has been common practice for years. I can't 4 Α 5 tell you why. I mean it's been 20 years in the making. 6 Q Okay. Okay. So it's your understanding it's always 7 been done this way? 8 To my understanding, yes. In our area, yes. 9 Fine. Okay. Have there ever been any discussions Q 10 about doing it the typical way, which is what we discussed, 11 where somebody signs it, as attorney in fact, for somebody 12 else? Has Bank of America ever had any discussions about 13 that? 14 No. I've never discussed that with anyone. 15 Okay. Have you ever questioned that, of why it's 16 done this way, instead of the way it's typically done, which 17 is someone signing as attorney of fact for someone else? 18 MR. TRINZ: I'm objecting to the use of the word 19 "typically done." What's typically done is what's done on 20 this. So you are injecting your own opinion into this 21 question and I'm objecting to it. 22 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Michele, has there ever Q 23 been any discussion of why it's done this way versus the way 24 we discussed earlier, which is a person signing as attorney in

fact for someone else? Has there ever been any discussion of

25

1	why it's done this way?
2	A Not to my knowledge.
3	Q Okay. Do you know who we would ask as to why it's
4	done this way? Is there a department or person that you would
5	know of who would have knowledge of why it's done this way?
6	A I mean you could go an All Regs. As I said, you
7	know, it's a system that shows all the regulations of all the
8	GSC's. I mean it is in there for Fannie, Freddie, and Ginnie.
9	It discusses the use of a stamp for an endorsement.
10	Q Okay. And where would I find that? Is that
11	available to the public or is that
12	A I think
13	Q something that's only available to Bank of
14	America?
15	A No. It is available to the public.
16	Q Okay. And can you
17	A I think you have
18	Q Can you
19	THE COURT REPORTER: I can't understand.
20	THE WITNESS: You would have to purchase it. I think
21	you I don't know how it's on-line.
22	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. I would have I would
23	have to purchase access to that information?
24	A I believe so. I'm not sure.
25	Q Okay. And is it your understanding that information

1	would be on Fannie Mae's website?
2	A I believe so.
3	Q Okay. Do you know, offhand, the website where the
4	information can be found?
5	A Not offhand, the exact website.
6	Q Okay. Okay.
7	A I mean I
8	Q Where do you get your knowledge then that it's
9	available to the public? How do you know that?
10	A Well, I know All Regs is available I mean I know
11	when I I could look at All Regs at Warehouser, All Regs at
12	Bank of America.
13	I'm not I know that you can go in and it
14	request the software. Request access to the software.
15	Q Okay. If I were going to request that information
16	from you, what would I call it? If I wanted to get access to
17	their instructions about these stamps, what would I call it if
18	I wanted to ask you for it?
19	A All Regs.
20	Q I would just say, "Can I have the All Regs for this
21	loan?"
22	A Well, you wouldn't you would have to ask for what
23	particular regulation you are looking for.
24	Q Okay.
25	A So in this case you would say, "What are the

1	regulations around facsimile stamps?"
2	Q Okay. And you call it a facsimile stamp. Is that
3	because it's done electronically or because I mean why do
4	you call it a facsimile stamp?
5	A That's what they call it.
6	Q Okay. But it's not actually put on by a fax?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Okay. But it's manually done with a stamp?
9	A It's not a fax, like f-a-x. It's f-a-s.
10	Q Okay.
11	A It's facsimile.
12	Q Okay. I I understand that now.
13	These powers of attorney that you've executed over
14	the years, do you know if they're recorded?
15	A I believe so. I I can't tell you for sure. I
16	believe I've seen some that have been recorded.
17	Q Okay. So it may be some of them but not all of
18	them?
19	A I can't answer that. I think you would have to go
20	back and research.
21	Q Okay. But your understanding is some of them may be
22	recorded?
23	A To my knowledge.
24	Q Are they notarized?
25	A No. I don't

1	Q None of them?
2	A I don't think so. I would have to go back and
3	review that. As I said, it was many years. I would have to
4	go through through them and look at them. I don't
5	Q Okay. Do you ever remember having a notary there
6	when you executed a power of attorney?
7	A I've executed many things with a notary. So I
8	can't I can't tell you whether yes or no.
9	Q Okay. When was the most recent power of attorney
10	that you executed?
11	A I don't have that answer.
12	Q Okay. Were there witnesses there when you executed
13	powers of attorney?
14	A Yes.
15	Q There were witnesses there; is that correct?
16	A Yes. There is usually someone in my office watching
17	me, yes.
18	Q Okay. And who would those people be? Is it
19	somebody you supervised or is it always the same person?
20	A It's usually someone that works for me. Yes.
21	Q Okay. And do you remember names of any of the
22	people who witnessed your signature?
23	A "Joan Martinez." "Joanne Inness." If it was
24	Q Anyone else?
25	A if it was notarized, it would have been one of my

1	notaries, "Jose Sevalla."
2	I mean we're looking at years of people that have
3	worked for me that bring in the documents and I review them to
4	sign them.
5	Q Okay. Going back to your stamp, when the stamps are
6	created, are they sent to you to review before someone uses
7	them?
8	A Yes. They yes.
9	Q Okay. And is there a limit on how many stamps can
10	be used at any given time?
11	A I don't understand that question.
12	Q So could for instance, could you execute you
13	know, could you have 20 stamps being used or can you only have
14	five stamps being used at any given time?
15	Is there a limit on the number of stamps that can be
16	in use at a certain time?
17	A Not to my knowledge.
18	Q Okay. And you said that you would get copies or
19	you would get the stamps back to burn them.
20	Would they just send them to you in the mail?
21	A No. We were in the same facility; so I would
22	they would walk them over to me.
23	Q Okay. And you stated that this was done when the
24	entities changed and you had to get new stamps; correct?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And you said that you would burn those stamps?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Is that the policy or was that just something yo	ou
4	had done?	
5	A That's my policy. I mean they're my stamps	
6	Q Okay.	
7	A with my signature. I don't want them out; so) I
8	destroy them.	
9	Q Okay. And do you do that at work or do you do t	hat
10	at home?	
11	A I do that at home.	
12	Q Okay. How many stamps have you burned over the	
13	years that you had stamps?	
14	A I don't know.	
15	Q Is it more than 100?	
16	A No.	
17	Q More than 50?	
18	A I don't know.	
19	Q Is it is it more than 10?	
20	A I mean more than 10.	
21	Q Okay. Okay. Do you know if Bank of America has	any
22	policy on what to do with the old stamps that are done bei	.ng
23	used?	
24	A Not to my knowledge.	
25	Q Okay. So nobody instructed you that you had to	burn

1	them; correct?
2	A No. I mean I know I am an officer. I know that I
3	need to destroy them.
4	Q Okay. Does Lori Meter destroy her stamps?
5	A I cannot speak for her.
6	Q Okay. But do you have knowledge of whether she
7	burns her stamps?
8	A I have no knowledge
9	Q Okay.
10	A on what she does with her stamps.
11	Q Is there ever a stamp that contains two
12	endorsements?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. Is that a stamp that contains both yours and
15	Lori's endorsement?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Is that when was that stamp first issued or when
18	did that first start with the double endorsement?
19	A I don't have the year.
20	Q Okay. Why did you go to a double endorsement stamp?
21	A You would have to talk to the bank. I mean I'm sure
22	because we were it was easier to apply one instead of
23	applying two.
24	Q Okay. Did they have to get your approval to do a
25	double endorsement stamp?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. And you had no problems with them using a
3	double endorsement stamp?
4	A Nope.
5	Q To your knowledge are they still using any double
6	endorsement stamps?
7	A Not with my name on it.
8	Q Okay. How about with anyone else's name on it?
9	A I can't comment on that. It's out of the scope of
10	my position.
11	Q How about in the scope of your knowledge? Do you
12	have knowledge
13	A I don't.
14	Q if they're using
15	A I don't. I don't. They're not my stamps.
16	It doesn't involve me. I don't know.
17	Q Okay. Was the double endorsement stamp well, did
18	you destroy that stamp?
19	A I did.
20	Q And how long ago was that?
21	A I think June of last year.
22	Q Okay. Is Lori Meter still executing endorsements?
23	A I do not think so. I don't you would have to ask
24	Lori.
25	Q Okay. What does Lori Meter do now?

1	A She works for "Rincon Trust."
2	
	Q So she actually works for the company that stores
3	all the documents in their vault?
4	A Yes. She is our custodian.
5	Q Okay. Has she always worked for "Rincon"?
6	A "Rincon" has changed names through the development
7	of you know, through its successors. But, yes.
8	Q Okay. And she was still with that entity even when
9	the name was a different name?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. Do you know how long she's been in the
12	mortgage industry?
13	A I don't.
14	Q Are you are you friends with Lori Meter?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. How long have you known Lori Meter?
17	A Since I don't know 2006, seven. I can't I
18	can't put a pinpoint on it.
19	Q And what position does she hold now?
20	A She's with "Rincon Trust."
21	Q And what does she do there?
22	A You you would have to talk to her within what
23	her scope of her job duties are.
24	Q Okay. As her friend, you don't know what she does?
25	A We don't really talk about her day-to-day

1	activities.
2	Q Okay. You don't have any idea of what she does at
3	her job?
4	A She she manages the the the collateral.
5	I I
6	Q Okay. Let's go back to this particular loan.
7	You stated before you don't know who the current
8	owner of this loan is?
9	A I stated that. Yes. I saw that the loan was sold
10	to Ginnie. And I
11	Q And do you know
12	A You told me there was a re-purchase.
13	Q When it was sold to Ginnie?
14	A I I would have to look at refresh my memory on
15	the dates. I don't have
16	Q Okay.
17	A I know it was after January 16th of '08.
18	Q And do you know if Ginnie is still the owner of this
19	loan?
20	A I think you told me that there was a re-purchase.
21	That Ginnie re-purchased it.
22	Q Okay. Where would you look at to determine who the
23	current owner of this loan is?
24	A I would look at our investor, our commitment history
25	of the loan, to show ownership.

1	Q And where is that stored? Is that on a certain
2	system?
3	A Yeah. That's the system I was talking about
4	earlier. The second marketing system. It's a website.
5	Q Okay. And who inputs information as to the current
6	owner in that system?
7	A It's a system. It's generated through various
8	systems of our servicing. I don't know who inputs it.
9	Q Okay. But you don't input it; correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And you don't oversee the department that does input
12	that information?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Okay. Let's talk a little bit about Countrywide
15	Home Loan Servicing, L.P.
16	They were the servicing branch for Countrywide; is
17	that correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And they only serviced loans?
20	A The L.P., yes. They are a servicer.
21	Q Okay. They didn't own loans though. They just
22	serviced them; right?
23	A Well, the loan was sold out of the mortgage company.
24	Q But Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P., didn't
25	they weren't the owner. They just serviced it for the owner?

1	A Well, Countrywide is the entity, the overall entity,
2	that owned the loan.
3	Q Yeah. The Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.; correct?
4	A You would you would really have to talk to the
5	attorneys on how the structure of the ownership goes between
6	Countrywide and the L.P. and the mortgage company.
7	Q Okay. But from your understanding Countrywide Home
8	Loan Servicing, L.P., is a servicing company; correct?
9	A Yes. They are a servicer.
10	Q Okay. Can we turn back to the original complaint in
11	this case and can we mark that as Exhibit 2?
12	MR. TRINZ: Okay. The original complaint, which has
13	been replaced by the amended complaint, that goes let me
14	look at the exhibits and make sure we're talking about the
15	same thing. Okay.
16	There is the original. There is an FHA planned unit
17	development rider. There is a notice of federal tax lien.
18	And there
19	MS. LUNDERGAN: The last page should be a loan ledger
20	marked exhibit is that a "D"?
21	MR. TRINZ: Yeah. It's something. I can't really read
22	it.
23	It says Countrywide Home Loans at the top. Is that
24	the last is that part of the complaint?
25	MS. LUNDERGAN: That is part of the original complaint.

1	MR. TRINZ: Okay. All right. So that's going to be
2	Composite 2. Hold on while the court reporter marks it.
3	Okay. It's marked.
4	(Whereupon Defendants' Exhibit No. 2
5	was marked for Identification.)
6	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Michele, can you turn
7	to they're not numbered page five of the complaint where
8	it starts with Count 1?
9	MR. TRINZ: There is only three pages.
10	MS. LUNDERGAN: Are you there?
11	MR. TRINZ: When you say page five of the complaint, the
12	complaint is only three pages.
13	MS. LUNDERGAN: I'm sorry. The entire packet. The
14	composite exhibit.
15	MR. TRINZ: Right.
16	MS. LUNDERGAN: So the fifth page in, which would be,
17	technically, the first page of the allegations.
18	MR. TRINZ: Well, the whole package starts with the
19	complaint. There is nothing else in the packet. It just says
20	complaint.
21	MS. LUNDERGAN: The first page doesn't say, "Civil
22	Action Summons"?
23	MR. TRINZ: Not what I have here. It just says,
24	"Complaint to Foreclose Mortgage."
25	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. For whatever reason you don't

1	have the summons. Mine has a summons, a lis pendens.
2	MR. TRINZ: I mean I have it I mean I don't know
3	if I have the summons. I'm not sure. Hang on.
4	MS. LUNDERGAN: But what we're
5	MR. TRINZ: Right. I've got I've got the complaint.
6	I don't seem to have the summons handy here.
7	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. The first two pages I'll just
8	state for the record, the first two pages were the summons and
9	the lis pendens.
10	So in my packet the fifth page is Count 1 of the
11	original complaint. For you, that must be your
12	MR. TRINZ: This is the first page.
13	MS. LUNDERGAN: the initial page, whatever it is
14	numbered.
15	MR. TRINZ: This is the first page is Count 1.
16	MS. LUNDERGAN: In the first page, paragraph five reads,
17	"The plaintiff owns and holds the note in mortgage."
18	Is that what your copy says?
19	MR. TRINZ: Yes.
20	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Ms. Sjolander, we had previously
21	discussed the the rule that Countrywide had in these in
22	these loans.
23	Going back to that, it's your understanding that
24	Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P., was the servicing
25	branch for Countrywide; correct?

1	A Yes. And Bank of America
2	Q Okay.
3	A took over that.
4	Q Okay.
5	MR. TRINZ: And just for the
6	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: And Countrywide Home Loans
7	MR. TRINZ: Excuse me, Amanda. Just just Amanda,
8	just for the record, I'm going to put an objection on the
9	record to testifying about the original complaint.
10	There is an amended complaint. This is a nullity.
11	It's been superceded by the amended complaint. It is of no
12	import or effect in the case whatsoever. Now, you can ask the
13	questions but I'm putting my objection.
14	MS. LUNDERGAN: Your objection is duly noted.
15	MR. TRINZ: Right.
16	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Going back to paragraph five it
17	says, "The plaintiff owns and holds the note in mortgage."
18	Michele, do you understand what "owns and holds"
19	means?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. In your understanding that if if if it
22	is true that Countrywide Home Loans Servicing was only the
23	servicer, they wouldn't own and hold anything; correct?
24	A Well
25	MR. TRINZ: Object as calls for a legal conclusion.

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean there is legal entities. 2 And I think that's what you would need to look at is the legal 3 entities of Countrywide, and the servicing entity, the 4 mortgage company. 5 So when it says, "The plaintiff," I'm sure it's 6 talking about Countrywide as a whole who now is its successor 7 (sic) of Bank of America. And --BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. 8 9 -- and we do hold --10 So we should infer then that --11 MR. TRINZ: Let her finish the question (sic). 12 THE WITNESS: I was not done. 13 MR. TRINZ: Let her finish the answer, Amanda. You 14 interrupted her. Let her finish. 15 THE WITNESS: So when we talk about owns and holds the 16 note, we do own and we're holding the note on behalf of -- of 17 Ginnie. 18 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. And can you tell me where Q 19 in the complaint it says that? 20 I did not write the complaint. 21 You can take some time if you want to read through 22 it and let me know where in the complaint that it says they 23 are owning it and holding it on behalf of Ginnie. 24 I could not tell you that. I did not read the 25 complaint. I got it ten minutes before I had to be here.

1	Q Okay. Well, we'll take a second. Go ahead and read
2	through it. It's only a couple of allegations here. It's not
3	that long.
4	MR. TRINZ: You know, objecting regarding I mean you
5	have an amended complaint, which is the operative complaint in
6	the case. Why are you asking her about the original complaint
7	from three years ago?
8	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. I got your relevance objection.
9	I'm going to go ahead and have her answer my question.
10	THE WITNESS: It does not say that. How's that?
11	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. So there is nothing within
12	the complaint that indicates this is being brought by anyone
13	other than Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P.; correct?
14	A It says the plaintiff. Well, Countrywide.
15	Q Is that correct? There is no mention of it being
16	brought on behalf of anyone else?
17	A Well, Countrywide Servicing, L.P., is now a
18	successor to Bank of America Servicing, L.P.
19	Q Okay. But on this complaint there is no allegations
20	that it's being brought on behalf of anyone else; correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. You stated earlier that you were familiar
23	with some of the MERS records, such as the MERS Min Summary.
24	So I would like to turn to that if you could.
25	I actually faxed you over two copies of the MERS

1	documents. One are the documents that MERS themselves
2	produced, and the other are documents that David Stern Walker
3	(sic) produced.
4	A Okay. I would like to
5	Q What I would like to talk to
6	MR. TRINZ: Hold on. I want to make sure
7	THE WITNESS: MERS is completely out of the scope of my
8	business. So as I
9	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: That's fine. But you stated
10	earlier you were familiar with those types of documents.
11	A I know what a Min document looks like. I know what
12	a Milestone looks like. But I am not MERS is completely
13	out of the scope of what my department does.
14	Q Okay. Perfect. So let's turn to the documents that
15	were produced by David Stern's office and I would like to mark
16	those as I think we're up to what? Exhibit 3?
17	MR. TRINZ: Okay. Let me just add okay. The first
18	page is "Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Request For
19	Production."
20	MS. LUNDERGAN: And then it goes Min Summary,
21	Milestones, Min Audits.
22	MR. TRINZ: And then there is some other documents.
23	MS. LUNDERGAN: Then Transfer Audits.
24	MR. TRINZ: There is documents from Bank of America, it
25	looks like, or they're different. So you want Composite 3?

1	MS. LUNDERGAN: Yes, please.
2	(Whereupon Defendants' Exhibit No. 3
3	was marked for Identification.)
4	MR. TRINZ: Okay. So let me just count how many pages
5	there is one not counting the first page, the actual
6	documents yeah one, two, three, four, five, six,
7	seven eight pages of what seem to be MERS documents.
8	Is that right? There is eight pages?
9	MS. LUNDERGAN: That's correct.
10	MR. TRINZ: Then there is a letter addressed to
11	and Clara right? I want to make sure we have
12	everything you are marking a composite exhibit.
13	MS. LUNDERGAN: Three, four, five mine ends with
14	mine is, in total one, two, three, four, five, six, seven,
15	eight, nine, ten mine is 14 pages in total.
16	MR. TRINZ: Well, let me count.
17	MS. LUNDERGAN: I'm really only going to be using the
18	first handful of pages. So those last pages
19	MR. TRINZ: Okay. I have 14 pages. All right. Let's
20	mark this as Composite 3.
21	THE WITNESS: When you said you faxed it, you did not
22	fax it to my department; right?
23	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: I'm sorry. We emailed it.
24	A Okay.
25	Q It was emailed to your attorney and also to

1	Mr. Harvey?
2	A Okay. I was just confirming it was not sent to our
3	fax.
4	MR. TRINZ: Okay. We have Exhibit 3.
5	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Can we please turn to
6	page two, which would be titled, "Min Summary"?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. You've seen this type of document before?
9	A Min Summary, no.
10	Q No. You've never seen a Min Summary before?
11	A I do not look at Min Summaries. No.
12	Q Okay. What do you look at?
13	A I've looked at the MERS Milestones before.
14	Q Okay. Let's turn to page three, which is the
15	Milestones.
16	A Okay.
17	Q Okay. Looking at these MERS Milestones and the
18	notes that they've made, can you walk me through the chain of
19	ownership of this loan?
20	A I cannot.
21	Q Okay. You don't know how to read the MERS
22	Milestones?
23	A This is not out of my scope of my department.
24	Q Okay. You said you were familiar with them;
25	correct?

1 Familiar, yes. But I am not -- I do not feel 2 comfortable enough to explain them in the court setting. 3 not understand them. I do not understand them enough. 4 What is your knowledge of the MERS Milestones as far 5 as what information do they contain? 6 I -- they contain the -- the -- the lenders. I look 7 at the description. I --8 MR. TRINZ: All right. I'm going to object to her 9 testifying from other business records. She is not here as a 10 corporate representative of any entity, let alone MERS. 11 THE WITNESS: Right. MR. TRINZ: So I don't -- I -- I --12 13 MS. LUNDERGAN: She actually is here as a corporate 14 officer of the plaintiff. 15 MR. TRINZ: Actually, she's here as a fact witness. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm actually here as a fact witness on the 17 endorsement, not on MERS at all. So I think --18 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: I would disagree on that. 19 read the notice of deposition it reads as vice president of 20 the plaintiff. It doesn't read as a fact witness --21 I cannot testify --22 -- on your deposition. Q 23 Okay. I cannot testify to this MERS document. It 24 is out of the scope of my department. I think if you need a 25 representative within servicing --

1 Okay. Well, I'm only speaking what's in the scope Q 2 of your knowledge. So let's talk about the scope of --3 I am telling you --4 I don't care about what's in --5 Okay. And I'm telling you, I do not have knowledge. 6 I've seen this document. I do not have -- I don't know how 7 the data gets there. I have no knowledge of what this 8 document --9 Then you can tell me -- when I ask questions Q Okay. 10 if you don't know, you can tell me you don't know. Let's go 11 through it a little bit at a time and you can tell me what you 12 are familiar with, what you have knowledge of. And if you 13 don't know, tell me you just don't know and we'll address it 14 at that time. 15 Is that okay? 16 If your questions are not open-ended, that's fine. 17 Okay. Looking at this document it appears that the Q 18 most recent entry, you see the January 7th, 2010, entry, lists 19 the current investor as Bank of America, N.A.; is that 20 correct? 21 I do not know if that's the current investor, but it 22 does say Bank of America, N.A. 23 Q Okay. 24 Or actually it says Bank of America -- BAC Home Loan 25 Servicing, L.P.

1	Q Okay. And you must not be looking at the same thing
2	I'm looking at. I'm looking at the entry marked January 7th,
3	2010
4	MR. TRINZ: Hold on.
5	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: under the Milestone
6	information paragraph
7	A As I
8	Q it says new investor Bank of America, N.A.; is
9	that correct?
10	MR. TRINZ: We're trying to figure out what you're
11	reading from.
12	THE WITNESS: I do not see that. No. And if I knew how
13	to read these documents, I would probably know what you are
14	speaking about.
15	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Well, looking at the
16	document you see we've got four paragraphs there; right?
17	One says description, one says date, one says
18	initiating organization, and one says Milestone information.
19	Does your copy say that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. Now, let's look at the fourth paragraph,
22	which says Milestone information, right underneath that
23	paragraph, the second line, it says, new investor Bank of
24	America, N.A.
25	Does yours say that?

1	A Yes. It does.
2	Q Okay. Perfect. Now, you said you don't know if
3	that information is correct; right?
4	A I said I do not know where this information comes
5	from. I do not know this document. I do not know what feeds
6	this information. I can't say whether it's correct or
7	incorrect.
8	Q Okay. If we said if for the sake of our
9	discussion, if we said it was correct, that that was correct
10	information, then that would mean that the owner of this loan,
11	as of January 7th, 2010, appears to be Bank of America, N.A.;
12	correct?
13	A I cannot say that.
14	MR. TRINZ: Object to the form of the question.
15	THE WITNESS: You are you are you are saying
16	seeking this. As I said, I am not a I'm not I'm not a
17	witness to this document. I am a witness to the endorsement.
18	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. You said you were familiar
19	with Milestones; correct?
20	A Yes. I've seen them. I'm familiar with it. Yes.
21	Q Okay. And in what in what situation have you
22	become familiar with Milestones?
23	A Just by looking to see if the loan was registered in
24	MERS.
25	Q That's the only thing you've ever looked at the MERS

1	document for?
2	A That's what I look at.
3	Q Okay.
4	A If the if the loan is on Mom docs, is it
5	registered in MERS.
6	"Christy, is it registered in MERS?"
7	"Yes. Here is your MERS Milestone."
8	"Okay. Thanks."
9	That's all I
10	Q And what do you use the MERS milestone for?
11	A That is my knowledge. That is what I do. It's not
12	in my department. It's not within the scope of my department.
13	How they register, what they do, what this means. No.
14	Q Okay. And when she says, "Here you go. Here is
15	your Milestones," what do you do with those?
16	A Confirm that it is the same loan number. Same it
17	matches.
18	Q All right. You confirm that it's the same loan
19	number in comparison to what?
20	A Same loan in comparison to the note. That it truly
21	is
22	Q Okay. And where on here do you see the loan number?
23	A Well, I look at well, she usually has the loan
24	number on it. So I can't tell you. I can tell you what this
25	is because it's it doesn't have the loan number.

1	The document she sends me, she puts the loan number
2	on it, I guess. That's how I know.
3	Q Okay. Do you know if she adds the loan number or is
4	the loan number present on the document you have access to?
5	A Usually she sends me the loan number. I don't know
6	if she puts the loan number on it or what.
7	Q Okay. Does it appear to be handwritten or does it
8	appear to be part of the document?
9	A I don't even know. Maybe it's handwritten on it.
10	Q Okay. Where would you look to refresh your
11	recollection? Do you have any of these MERS documents that
12	she sent you?
13	A On this file? Not here. No.
14	Q How about in general? Do you have any MERS
15	documents that she sent you?
16	A Not here. No. Not in this room.
17	Q Where would they be?
18	A Probably my Simi Valley office.
19	Q Okay. So you have no knowledge then as to any of
20	the other MERS documents? Is that what you are stating?
21	A Yes. That's what I'm stating.
22	Q Okay. The only thing that you use the MERS
23	documents for are to look at the loan number; is that correct?
24	A No. That's not correct. I
25	Q Okay. What else do you use them for?

As I said, I confirm if the loans -- I don't use the 1 2 MERS documents. You keep saying I use them. I don't use 3 them. I confirm that the loan, if it's on Mom docs, was 4 5 registered in MERS. By doing so, I contact that department 6 and they send me the Milestones. 7 "Yes. Michele, it is. Here's the MERS Milestones." "Great." I look at it and I stick it in the file. 8 I don't -- I take -- I guess I take their word for 9 10 I mean I can't say if there is a loan number on it. We 11 have usually a conversation. They look it up, and they say, 12 yes, it is on MERS. "Here is your MERS Milestone." 13 Q Okay. 14 I mean I can't go into detail of the document. 15 THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear the question. 16 MR. TRINZ: She said let's go back to page number two. 17 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. In looking at this Q 18 document can you tell whether this loan has been securitized? 19 MR. TRINZ: The one that's marked Min Summary? You're 20 on page two? 21 MS. LUNDERGAN: Yes. Yes. Page two, Min Summary. 22 THE WITNESS: No. I cannot tell. 23 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. If you were to try to tell 24 whether a loan has been securitized, where would you look in 25 your system?

1	A In our servicing system, I would look at the
2	investor number, the investor history.
3	Q Okay. And have you done that in this case?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. And is the loan securitized?
6	A It was securitized with Ginnie. Yes.
7	Q Okay. And that's not reflected in the name of the
8	case, is it?
9	MR. TRINZ: Object to the form.
10	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: In the caption of this case,
11	which reads Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P., Plaintiff,
12	versus there is no indication in that caption
13	that this loan is securitized, is there?
14	A No. There is not.
15	Q And you stated it was securitized in back when it
16	was moved to Ginnie Mae, and when would that be?
17	A Back in '08.
18	Q 2008?
19	A Uh-huh.
20	Q Okay. I also then would like to turn you to the
21	interrogatories that were sent by fax.
22	Do you have those?
23	MR. TRINZ: I'm looking to see. Interrogatories. I
24	think I saw them. Hold on.
25	THE WITNESS: This?

1	MR. TRINZ: No. Those are the document requests we just
2	looked at. Hang on.
3	MS. LUNDERGAN: It was part of the initial email to you.
4	MR. TRINZ: Right.
5	MS. LUNDERGAN: It was notice of servicing answer to
6	intogs (sic).
7	MR. TRINZ: Well, I forwarded them to be for
8	printing.
9	THE WITNESS: Was
10	MR. TRINZ: It was four attachments; right?
11	THE WITNESS: There was four attachments. I printed all
12	four.
13	MR. TRINZ: Okay. Hold on.
14	When you sent them by email, can you tell me what
15	that file was called?
16	MS. LUNDERGAN: Yeah. It would have been called
17	Plaintiff it would just be "P" apostrophe "S," notice of
18	servicing, abbreviated N-O-S, answer to intogs (sic).
19	THE WITNESS: No. The attachment of the file there
20	was one called P-R, S-P-D's. One that said Michele Sjolander
21	Endorsements. There was one that said complaint. There was
22	one that said amended complaint.
23	MS. LUNDERGAN: There should have been, in total, the
24	amended complaint, the original complaint, a set of
25	interrogatories, a set of requests for production, and your

1 endorsements. 2 And then there was a second email with a second 3 request for production response. 4 THE WITNESS: I never printed it. 5 MS. LUNDERGAN: So in total there should be four 6 documents sent to your office. 7 MR. HARVEY: This is Travis Harvey. Let me interject, 8 Amanda, I believe the reporter said she only received four 9 emails. I believe there was five with six followed up this 10 morning. 11 MS. LUNDERGAN: Yes. That's correct, Travis. 12 MR. TRINZ: You have four. I'm looking --13 MS. LUNDERGAN: What I emailed to you, Travis, is the 14 same thing that was emailed to your co-counsel. 15 MR. TRINZ: Okay. MS. LUNDERGAN: So, Travis, you got six. Those are the 16 17 six that I sent. 18 MR. HARVEY: Yeah. I got them this morning a few 19 minutes before we started. I think the court reporter is 20 indicating to you -- or whoever is there -- said they received 21 the emails. They only received four. So --22 MR. TRINZ: Right. I'm looking here --2.3 MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. What I'm relying on is that what 24 I sent to your co-counsel is what we've got today. I didn't 25 realize that the court reporter had printed documents.

1	MR. TRINZ: No. She didn't. She didn't. She didn't.
2	Travis is mistaken. That was Michele talking.
3	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
4	MR. TRINZ: Because I forwarded
5	MS. LUNDERGAN: The one email to Travis is five, and
6	then one, and that's what you should have received as well.
7	MR. TRINZ: Okay.
8	MS. LUNDERGAN: The interrogatories were in the initial
9	set of five.
10	Travis, can you confirm that that was in that
11	initial set of five?
12	MR. HARVEY: I can't confirm what you sent them. I got
13	an email separately this morning.
14	MR. TRINZ: I'm looking here. I forwarded what I got to
15	Michele for printing out. I forwarded one email because I did
16	not get the second one until I'm seeing it now. Because it
17	was as we were walking up to the office here.
18	So I do see plaintiff's notice of service of answers
19	to interrogatories. So they either
20	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
21	MR. TRINZ: didn't get printed out or is it
22	possible
23	THE WITNESS: I only have four.
24	MR. TRINZ: Oh.
25	THE WITNESS: I only have these attachments.

1	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. So it looks like it didn't get
2	printed. You want to take a quick break and print them?
3	MR. TRINZ: Well, how about can we do something else
4	and go back and do it rather than so we can move it along
5	and we'll take a break in a little bit and do it? Because I'm
6	looking here I sent her whatever you sent me, except for
7	the second email
8	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
9	MR. TRINZ: which I didn't get until I didn't see
10	it until a few minutes ago actually. So
11	MS. LUNDERGAN: I really would like to just finish with
12	it because it's going it's going to hinge off what we just
13	talked about.
14	MR. TRINZ: Okay.
15	MS. LUNDERGAN: So I would appreciate if we could just
16	print it and move through this particular subject.
17	MR. TRINZ: Okay. All right. Is it possible to get it
18	off the printer?
19	THE WITNESS: I clipped everything that I had.
20	MR. TRINZ: Okay.
21	THE WITNESS: So if
22	MR. TRINZ: All right.
23	THE WITNESS: I don't have it, I don't have it.
24	MR. TRINZ: I understand.
25	THE WITNESS: It was one, two, three, four

1	THE COURT REPORTER: Are we still on the record?
2	MR. TRINZ: No. Let's go off the record on this
3	discussion here.
4	(Off the record from
5	12:32 p.m. to 12:42 p.m.)
6	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Again, my question,
7	Ms. Sjolander, is did you have any discussions with your
8	attorney during the break?
9	A No.
10	Q Okay. Going back to my question and if you can
11	pull the interrogatories out and get those ready.
12	MR. TRINZ: We have them now.
13	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: You discussed that this loan
14	was based on your review of the file, the loan was
15	securitized back in 2008; correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And if we can turn to those interrogatories.
18	Do you have them out?
19	MR. TRINZ: We have them.
20	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. And I think we're up to are we
21	up to Defense Exhibit 4?
22	MR. TRINZ: Okay. Let's mark it. It starts with the
23	notice of service
24	MS. LUNDERGAN: Yes.
25	MR. TRINZ: and ends with the signature page on the

1	back.
2	MS. LUNDERGAN: A total of 13 pages.
3	MR. TRINZ: I didn't count them. I'm just looking at
4	the signature page by I can't even see who it is. It says
5	litigation specialist, notarized California California
6	notary.
7	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. And then the last page of
8	it it looks like I've got duplicates.
9	MR. TRINZ: Can I mark it? Can she mark it?
10	MS. LUNDERGAN: Mark it, please, as Defense Exhibit 4.
11	MR. TRINZ: Okay. It's been marked and the reporter is
12	ready.
13	(Whereupon Defendants' Exhibit No. 4
14	was marked for Identification.)
15	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Ms. Sjolander, based on
16	the first page, do you see the date that these responses were
17	served appears to be November 1st, 2010?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Was that a "Yes"?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. And if we could turn then to interrogatory
22	number nine, please, and can you take a moment and read that.
23	And you see the response there to these interrogatories in
24	2010 is that there is no trust.
25	So based on your your statement that this loan

1	was securitized in 2008, it appears that that is an incorrect
2	statement; correct?
3	MR. TRINZ: I'm just going to interpose an objection
4	generally, Amanda, on this. She well, you can ask her but
5	it doesn't appear she had anything to do with the preparation
6	of this or
7	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
8	MR. TRINZ: submission to your office.
9	MS. LUNDERGAN: I'm just asking her to compare the
10	response in that interrogatory to what she has stated to me.
11	I'm not I'm not in any ways implying she had any part in
12	drafting this response.
13	I'm just asking her to compare what she has told me
14	to what the responses to this discovery were in 2010.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. So
16	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Ms. Sjolander, comparing that,
17	does it appear that that answer is not correct?
18	A I cannot state that. And I'll give you two reasons
19	why.
20	One, this is 2010. The loan could have been
21	re-purchased out of the security by then. And, two, when they
22	say, "trust," are they talking about like a PSA? Like a
23	trust? Or a security?
24	I don't know how they read "trust" when they
25	write when they answer this question. I was not with them.

1	Q Okay. Well, I will state to you that this is
2	talking about security, whether or not this loan has been
3	securitized. And in your review
4	MR. TRINZ: Amanda, let me hold on.
5	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: of this file
6	MR. TRINZ: Hold on. Let me object. You are stating
7	I know your office probably prepared these, but she was
8	saying I think she was interpreting the response.
9	You are saying you are giving your opinion as to
10	what the question says; right? I just want to clarify.
11	MS. LUNDERGAN: Yes. That's correct. I'm not in any
12	way interpreting what the person who responded to these would
13	have interpreted.
14	MR. TRINZ: Okay.
15	MS. LUNDERGAN: I'm just stating what our position is
16	here.
17	MR. TRINZ: Okay.
18	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
19	Q So, Ms. Sjolander, you had stated that in 2008 the
20	loan was securitized and you knew that because you had
21	reviewed some documents before you came here today.
22	Was there anything in those documents that you had
23	reviewed that would lead you to believe the loan was taken out
24	of the trust?
25	A Nothing. Well, in the documents that I reviewed, I

did see a release. And so in that sense a release to me shows that it was probably taken out of the trust. I can't tell you the dates.

I can also say that for common foreclosure, when these loans go into foreclosure we -- and the loans go down, we'll re-purchase them out of the security, and that's to my knowledge.

So unless I was on my system and able to research it right now and look to see exact, I can't stipulate that. But I know to my knowledge usually when these go into foreclosure and there was a release, it is that the loan was released out of the security or re-purchased from the security.

Q And this --

A Back when this was prepared -- when this was prepared, I don't know what occurred. I can say back in 2008, when the loan was logged in, it was securitized under a Ginnie Mae security. And that's it.

Q Okay. And you said there was a release in the file?

A There was -- there was not -- when you say, "in the file," there was a release on our system. So our system showed that the collateral was released.

Q And who was it released to?

A In this case I think it was Stern. Back in --

Q Okay. So it was simply -- when you say it was released, you mean the actual physical loan was taken out of

1 the vault and sent to Stern's office; correct? 2 MR. TRINZ: Object to the form. 3 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Can you answer that, please? 4 I don't know. Can you restate the question? I 5 don't know what you are asking. 6 Q Okay. You said that on your system there was a 7 release; correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 And you believe that release was a release to David Q 10 Stern's office; correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. But what you didn't say that it was a release 13 from the trust. What I'm trying to understand is when you say 14 there was a release, are you talking about a release, meaning 15 the physical release of the document from the vault to David 16 Stern, or are you talking about a release from the trust to 17 another entity? 18 I'm showing that there was a release of the 19 collateral, which usually in -- in -- from my knowledge base 20 tells me that the loan went into foreclosure and the loan was 21 probably then re-purchased out of the trust. 2.2 I cannot guarantee that without going on my system 23 and checking. I don't know what occurred between, you know, 2008 and 2010. All I can say is there was a -- you know, when 24 25 I looked at this system, the collateral system, I could

1	show I saw that there was a release of collateral.
2	Q Okay. And you looked at those you looked at
3	those systems in anticipation of this deposition?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. And have you access to those in your office
6	where you are today?
7	A Yes.
8	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. And I know, Mr. Trinz, you are
9	going to object, but at this time I would ask if there is
10	something there that could refresh her recollection about the
11	time, that she would take a moment and review those documents.
12	MR. TRINZ: No. We're not going to do that. We're
13	objecting.
14	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Then I also would ask that you
15	send me a copy of the documents that she used in anticipation
16	of this deposition.
17	MR. TRINZ: No. We are not going to do that. We are
18	objecting.
19	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
20	Q So you would have to look at those documents then to
21	ascertain when that release was was executed; correct?
22	A The system. You keep talking about documents. Yes.
23	I would have to look in our system.
24	Q Okay.
25	A Our system.

1	Q So you would have to look in your system to find out
2	when that release was executed?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you would have to look in your system to find
5	out whether that release was a release from the trust;
6	correct?
7	A Release from the security. Yes.
8	Q Okay. Versus what I stated could have been a
9	possibility, which was just a release of a physical note to
10	David Stern's office; correct?
11	A Yeah. Usually they happen together. But, yes.
12	Q Okay. Based on your review of the file then, do
13	you could you tell who the current owner of the loan was?
14	A I would have to look at our commitment history and I
15	don't I mean I I don't recall. I would have to go back
16	and look through this this loan.
17	Q Okay. But we're in agreement then that Countrywide
18	Home Loans Servicing, L.P., doesn't exist anymore. So it
19	would have to be someone else who would be the current owner
20	of the loan; correct?
21	MR. TRINZ: I'm going to object. Calls for a legal
22	conclusion.
23	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Can you answer my question or do
24	you need me to restate it?
25	A Well, Countrywide Home Loans would be the successor

1	of Bank of America Servicing, L.P.
2	Q Okay. I'm only talking about Countrywide Home Loan
3	Servicing, L.P. They can't own this loan today because they
4	don't exist anymore; correct?
5	A I don't know how
6	MR. TRINZ: Object. Again, let me interpose the
7	objection and then you can answer, if you can.
8	The objection is based on you are calling for a
9	legal conclusion.
10	THE WITNESS: Legally, I don't know how the entities
11	how it was the legal entities.
12	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. How about non-legally?
13	Just just your understanding.
14	If Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P., doesn't
15	exist, could they still own this loan?
16	A Well, as I said, Bank of America purchased
17	Countrywide Home Loans. So as its successor
18	Q Okay. Can you answer my question which was
19	A As successor
20	Q is Countrywide Home Loans can I finish my
21	question, please?
22	A If I could finish my answer.
23	Q If Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P., doesn't
24	exist anymore and you stated they have ceased to exist
25	then they couldn't be the current owner of the loan. Maybe

1 another entity related to them could be the owner of the loan 2 but Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P., is not the owner of 3 the loan today; correct? 4 MR. TRINZ: Again, interposing the objection. You are 5 calling for a continuing series of legal conclusions by a 6 non-lawyer. 7 MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Please just let her respond to 8 the question. 9 THE WITNESS: Does Countrywide -- can you repeat the 10 question? 11 MS. LUNDERGAN: I'm going to keep repeating it and he's 12 going to keep stating his objections. So can I have the court 13 reporter just repeat back the question? 14 (Whereupon the record 15 was read as follows: 16 "Question: If Countrywide Home 17 Loan Servicing, L.P., doesn't 18 exist anymore -- and you stated 19 they have ceased to exist -- then 20 they couldn't be the current owner 21 of the loan. Maybe another entity 22 related to them could be the owner 23 of the loan but Countrywide Home 24 Loan Servicing, L.P., is not the 25 owner of the loan today; correct?")

1	MR. TRINZ: Again, I'm also going to object on the basis
2	of the form.
3	THE WITNESS: So an entity that of Countrywide Home
4	Loans that the successor now owns the loan to my knowledge.
5	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: But not Countrywide Home Loans
6	Servicing, L.P.?
7	A I do not know how legally it was set up.
8	Q Okay. I'm not asking you for legally. Just within
9	your knowledge if there is if Countrywide Home Loans
10	Servicing, L.P., does not exist
11	A Within my knowledge the successor of Countrywide
12	Home Loans, L.P., owns the loan, which is Bank of America
13	Servicing, L.P. That's to my knowledge. I can't I can't
14	answer it any other way.
15	Q Okay. So your your response is that Countrywide
16	Home Loan Servicing, L.P., as named, does not exist any
17	longer; that now they are Bank of America or BAC?
18	MR. TRINZ: Object as to the form. And you're calling
19	for a legal conclusion still.
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I think you would have to
21	talk to a legal attorney to get the answer correct.
22	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Does your company still
23	execute documents to Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P.?
24	MR. TRINZ: Object to form.
25	THE WITNESS: I couldn't I don't know. To my

1	knowledge, I don't know.
2	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Do you receive any
3	letterhead from Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P.?
4	A No.
5	Q Are there any current employees that would call
6	themselves employees of just Countrywide Home Loan Servicing,
7	L.P.?
8	A I don't know.
9	Q Okay.
10	A To be honest, I
11	Q Is there are there officers of Countrywide Home
12	Loan Servicing, L.P., today?
13	A I don't know.
14	Q Okay. Who would know that information?
15	A Somebody from our legal team.
16	Q Okay. That's the only person who would know whether
17	or not that company still has officers?
18	A I would I would assume so. I don't feel that I
19	should I have enough knowledge to be able to answer,
20	legally, those questions.
21	Q Okay. And who is it that you are appearing on
22	behalf of here today?
23	A I am here for under Bank of America.
24	Q Okay. You are not here then for Countrywide Home
25	Loan Servicing, L.P.?

1 I am here for the endorsement that was placed under 2 Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P., which is -- Bank of 3 America is representing me which is one in the same in its 4 successor. 5 So you are appearing here on behalf of Bank of Q 6 America? 7 Α Yes. 8 I want to go back to something we had talked 9 about earlier, which involves the endorsements of the note, 10 and I guess maybe we had gone in circles and you didn't quite 11 understand what it was I was asking, which is for the people 12 who are putting the stamps on the promissory note, what 13 instructions do they receive as far as what stamps to put on 14 the note? 15 As I said, you would have to talk to their training 16 program and how they're trained. I think Lori Meter would be 17 best to explain their polices and procedures and how they 18 place the endorsement chain. 19 But you didn't have any oversight with 20 regards to when your stamp was used and which documents? 21 My stamp was used on the notes. And, no, I don't 22 have --23 Q Okay. All right. So you didn't have any oversight 24 on when they were stamping the documents and which documents 25 got stamped; correct?

1	A Well, the note would be stamped, or an allonge to
2	the note.
3	Q Okay. And did you have any oversight as to which
4	particular loans got stamped with your endorsement?
5	A I knew that fundings that came in through the
6	custodian were stamped with my endorsement stamp. Yes. I did
7	know.
8	Q Okay. And on a daily basis did you tell them which
9	ones were able to be stamped with your endorsement?
10	A No. They used the power of attorney.
11	Q How about can you repeat that?
12	A No. They had a power of attorney.
13	Q Okay. So once you executed the power of attorney,
14	you really didn't have any oversight as far as what documents
15	they stamped or which promissory I'm sorry. Let me
16	rephrase.
17	Once you executed the power of attorney, you didn't
18	have any oversight as to which promissory notes they stamped;
19	correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Okay. Mr. Trinz, can I have you state for the
22	record on behalf of who you are appearing here today?
23	MR. TRINZ: I'm here as counsel for Bank of America.
24	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. You are not counsel for
25	Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, L.P.?

1	MR. TRINZ: I'm not answering anything else and you
2	can you want to take my deposition some time, that's fine.
3	MS. LUNDERGAN: So you are refusing to tell me who you
4	are appearing here on behalf of?
5	MR. TRINZ: I just told you.
6	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Is that the only party you are
7	appearing here on behalf of today?
8	MR. TRINZ: Well, Ms. Sjolander, to the extent she's an
9	individual witness, as opposed to a corporate representative,
10	so, yes, I'll be representing her as well.
11	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. So your appearance here today is
12	related solely to Bank of America and to Ms. Sjolander in her
13	individual capacity; correct? I just want to clarify who it
14	is you are appearing here on behalf of.
15	MR. TRINZ: I've answered it already.
16	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. We'll take it as an affirmative.
17	MR. TRINZ: Take it however you want. I already
18	answered the question.
19	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Thank you.
20	MR. TRINZ: You're welcome.
21	MS. LUNDERGAN: Let me see if I have just a few more
22	follow-up questions and then we'll be done here.
23	Q Back to those interrogatories that were signed. If
24	we could pull those back out. I don't know if you set them
25	down.

1	MR. TRINZ: They're right here in front of us.
2	Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Ms. Sjolander, do you ever
3	execute interrogatories on behalf of Bank of America or
4	Countrywide?
5	A I've executed a declaration. I'm not sure if it's
6	one and the same.
7	Q Okay. Do you ever remember answering
8	interrogatories in your capacity at Bank of America or
9	Countrywide?
10	A I could have been asked a question. I wouldn't have
11	known if it was an interrogatory or not.
12	Q Okay. But you don't you don't specifically
13	remember signing any interrogatories?
14	A No.
15	Q Okay. Do you know the person who signed the
16	interrogatories in this case "Reeza R. Samedian"?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. Do you know which department executes
19	interrogatories?
20	A I think our litigation group.
21	Q Okay. And how many people are in the litigation
22	group?
23	A I do not know.
24	Q Okay. And where the is the litigation group
25	located?

_	
1	A I do not know.
2	Q Okay. It's not in your office in California?
3	A They could be. I
4	Q You have have you ever had any interaction with
5	the litigation department?
6	A Just when cases like this come up.
7	Q Okay. And in your interaction what are the names of
8	the people you've been involved with?
9	A I don't know offhand. I mean they're emails. I
10	don't
11	Q Okay. And from those emails you don't know where
12	the people are located?
13	A No. We usually talk on the phone or email back and
14	forth. I don't go to their offices.
15	Q Okay. You'll see on this, if you can turn to the
16	interrogatories, that "Reeza R. Samedian" signed this as a
17	litigation specialist.
18	Do you know what that means?
19	A No.
20	Q Okay. Is there anywhere in your handbook that we
21	discussed earlier that would define what that title means?
22	A Not that I'm aware of.
23	Q Okay. So does Bank of America have any sort of
24	documents that defines people's positions?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Okay. And where are those documents? Are those
2	similar to the documents we discussed earlier that are
3	on-line?
4	A Yeah. You could go into human resources and look at
5	job descriptions. Is that what you are asking?
6	Q Yeah. Would there be some sort of manual or some
7	sort of document that would describe what each position
8	entails?
9	A I don't know. It's a manual. There are job
10	descriptions that describes people's jobs.
11	Q Is it written or is it on-line?
12	A Isn't that the same?
13	Q Well, is there a paper copy or is it only an
14	electronic copy?
15	A Oh, I've only witnessed it electronically or you
16	could print it.
17	Q Okay. Is there only one manual for all of Bank of
18	America or is it divided up into several?
19	A They said these are job descriptions. They're not
20	manuals that we're talking about here.
21	Q Are these job descriptions all located in one
22	electronic location or are they divided up in separate
23	locations?
24	A It's in one location. You can look at various jobs
25	and the job descriptions that go with it.

1	Q Okay. And if I were to ask for something like that,
2	what would I ask for if I were to ask, you know, human
3	resources?
4	A A job description.
5	Q A job description, is that what I would ask for? A
6	job description for a litigation specialist?
7	A That's what I would call it.
8	Q Okay. It's not known by anything else?
9	A Not that I'm aware of.
10	Q Okay. Is there ever a time when the endorsements
11	are executed after they're received by either Bank of America
12	or Countrywide? You had stated earlier that they were imaged
13	almost simultaneously with or they were endorsed I'm
L 4	sorry. Let me rephrase.
L5	You had stated earlier that they were endorsed
16	almost simultaneously with receiving the collateral file and
L7	the imaging.
18	Is there ever a time when an endorsement would be
L9	executed some time after that? For instance, months or years
20	later?
21	MR. TRINZ: Object to form.
22	THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean there
23	could be a deficiency from what I stated that there is two
24	different processes. So I don't know what process you are
25	referring to back in '08 or our current process.

BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Well, let's talk about the 1 0 2008 process. 2 3 Back in the 2008 process was there ever a time when 4 an endorsement would be added months or years after you 5 received the note? 6 If the -- if the collateral -- I mean in this case 7 the collateral was reviewed without a deficiency. So that 8 ensures that there was an endorsement placed on it. So part 9 of the review process --10 Okay. So that would be one of the things that they Q 11 would look for in 2008 when they were reviewing the collateral 12 file -- I'm sorry -- would be the endorsement? 13 Α Yes. 14 MR. TRINZ: First, I'm going to object to form. Second, 15 you interrupted her answer. So you talked over her so the 16 reporter did not get part of her answer. If you can let her 17 finish. 18 If you can remember what you were answering. 19 THE WITNESS: I was saying it was part of the review 20 process. 21 MR. TRINZ: Okay. 22 BY MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. And how about today? Is Q 23 that part of the review process to check for the endorsements? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. And are any notes made when a review is done

1	of the file?
2	A You would have to talk to Lori Meter or how they
3	if there is a deficiency found, a deficiency is noted in our
4	system. I'm not sure what you mean by "notes made."
5	Q Okay. For instance, if I was the person in 2008 who
6	was doing a review of the file, would I make a note that the
7	endorsements were present or would I only make a notation if
8	there were no endorsements?
9	A To my knowledge you would only make a you would
10	only make a note or place a place a deficiency if there was
11	no endorsements so that we knew that we had to go place
12	endorsements.
13	Q Okay.
14	A However, that's to my knowledge. You would to
15	get the there could be other circumstances. I'm not sure.
16	In that department
17	Q You think Lori Meter would be the person who would
18	know that type of information?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And Lori Meter, you had stated, was with the company
21	back in 2008. She was she was the person who would have
22	that information?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. But you said today you're not sure what
25	exactly her job her job entails today?

1	A Well, her job yeah. I mean her job has changed
2	as all of ours have.
3	Q Okay. But you think, still today, Lori Meter would
4	be the person who would know what kind of notes are made when
5	the file is reviewed?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that the
8	promissory note in this case has ever been lost?
9	A Nope.
10	Q And your reason or your basis for stating that is
11	because there were no notes in the system that the note had
12	ever been lost?
13	A There were no notes in our collateral deficiency
14	system that the note has been lost. I did not look at the
15	servicing system to see if there was any notes on the
16	servicing system.
17	Q Okay. And when these documents are transferred to
18	the attorney for foreclosure, is there any notation made of
19	what documents are transferred?
20	A Well, the collateral. You know, what was in the
21	collateral file was transferred.
22	Q Okay. So the note would be that the collateral file
23	was transferred to the attorney?
24	A You would have to talk to Lori Meter. I'm not sure
25	how they notate their system.

1	Q Okay. To your knowledge if if something was
2	missing from the collateral file when it was sent to the
3	attorney, would a note have been made of that?
4	A Once again, you would have to speak with the
5	custodian with regards to their practices and how they notate
6	the systems.
7	Q Okay. You have no knowledge of how they notate the
8	system or what information is relayed in the collateral files
9	of the attorney?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Okay. I want to go back really quick to why you no
12	longer why they are no longer using your stamp to endorse
13	notes.
14	You had said that you had left because you had a
15	heart attack; is that correct?
16	MR. TRINZ: It's all been asked and answered, Amanda.
17	You went into it and she answered it.
18	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay.
19	Q Were there any other reasons why they stopped using
20	your particular stamp?
21	A I was out on leave at that time. I cannot comment
22	on the change.
	Q You can't comment on it because you don't know?
23	Q You can't comment on it because you don't know? A Correct. I was not here. I was not involved.
23	

1	correct?
2	A Right. I was not involved in the conversations
3	about the
4	Q So how did you find out they stopped using your
5	stamp?
6	A When I came back from leave.
7	Q Someone just told you, "Hey, we stopped using your
8	stamp"?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And who was that person?
11	A Joan Martinez who works for me.
12	Q Now, who is that in relation to you? Is that your
13	supervisor?
14	A No. She reports to me.
15	Q Who is it?
16	A Joan Martinez.
17	Q Yeah. And why sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt
18	you.
19	Why would she be the person who informed you that
20	your stamp was no longer being used?
21	A Because after I went out on leave, she was
22	responsible for notifying that I was leaving out on leave
23	and that, you know, that we wanted to halt my stamp being used
24	at that time that I was not here.
25	Q Okay. Is there a policy that if you are on leave

1	that they can't use your stamp?
2	A No. There is not.
3	Q Okay. So why was it that, because you were on
4	leave, they were no longer going to use your stamp?
5	A Well, for one, at that time I don't think that they
6	knew my capacity of when I was coming back. I I can't
7	it was all hearsay. I was out. I was not here. We changed
8	our practice. I when I came back from leave, I was
9	notified of the change of practice by Joan Martinez who
10	reports to me. It was her job. She took over a lot of what
11	my duties at that time. And it was Lori Meter instructed
12	her the practice is changing.
13	Q Okay. And you said the practice was changed. What
13	Q Okay. And you said the practice was changed. What do you mean when you say their practice changed?
14	do you mean when you say their practice changed?
14 15	do you mean when you say their practice changed? A Well, the note is now being endorsed upon arrival
14 15 16	do you mean when you say their practice changed? A Well, the note is now being endorsed upon arrival prior to imaging. So when the collateral is imaged, it has
14 15 16 17	do you mean when you say their practice changed? A Well, the note is now being endorsed upon arrival prior to imaging. So when the collateral is imaged, it has the endorsement chain on it.
14 15 16 17	do you mean when you say their practice changed? A Well, the note is now being endorsed upon arrival prior to imaging. So when the collateral is imaged, it has the endorsement chain on it. MR. TRINZ: Again, asked and answered previously. You
14 15 16 17 18	do you mean when you say their practice changed? A Well, the note is now being endorsed upon arrival prior to imaging. So when the collateral is imaged, it has the endorsement chain on it. MR. TRINZ: Again, asked and answered previously. You already asked her this.
114 115 116 117 118 119 220	do you mean when you say their practice changed? A Well, the note is now being endorsed upon arrival prior to imaging. So when the collateral is imaged, it has the endorsement chain on it. MR. TRINZ: Again, asked and answered previously. You already asked her this. Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: So why would that affect are
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221	do you mean when you say their practice changed? A Well, the note is now being endorsed upon arrival prior to imaging. So when the collateral is imaged, it has the endorsement chain on it. MR. TRINZ: Again, asked and answered previously. You already asked her this. Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: So why would that affect are you done?
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221	do you mean when you say their practice changed? A Well, the note is now being endorsed upon arrival prior to imaging. So when the collateral is imaged, it has the endorsement chain on it. MR. TRINZ: Again, asked and answered previously. You already asked her this. Q BY MS. LUNDERGAN: So why would that affect are you done? MR. TRINZ: Uh-huh. I'm done.

1 Bank of America employees are now using the stamp as it 2 arrives into the imaging plant. So it --3 Q Okay. -- it changed our practice. In other words, a power 4 5 of attorney did not need to be used because another entity was 6 not applying the stamp. Bank of America associates were 7 applying the stamp at the time it arrived into the imaging 8 vault area. It was not --9 And how long --10 Α I was not finished. 11 It was not in the custodian's possession. 12 Okay. And how long has that change been in effect? 0 13 Α I think since March or April of last year. 14 Q And do you know the reason why they made that 15 change? 16 MR. TRINZ: Asked and answered several times. 17 THE WITNESS: As I said, I was out on leave. I was not 18 privy to those discussions. 19 Okay. And you haven't heard any BY MS. LUNDERGAN: 20 information since you've been back of why they made those 21 changes? 2.2 They wanted to have the -- from what my knowledge is Α 23 they wanted to make sure the endorsement chain was on imaging. 24 It was a good change. That now --25 Why do you --

1	A Because now if you look on imaging you can see the
2	endorsement chain. Whereas
3	Q And so now you said Bank of America employees are
4	executing the endorsements?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And who are those Bank of America employees? Are
7	they a specific department?
8	A I'm sure it is.
9	Q Do you know the name of that department?
10	A It's in post-closing. No.
11	Q Is Lori Meter part of the post-closing now?
12	A No.
13	Q So Lori Meter is not involved in endorsing the
14	promissory notes?
15	A I don't know if she still I don't think so. No.
16	I'm not involved in that practice anymore because it's not my
17	stamps being used. I think I answered this same answer
18	before.
19	Q Okay.
20	A You would have to talk to the new area.
21	Q Was there any written notification that went out to
22	Bank of America employees when that policy took effect?
23	A Not to my knowledge.
24	Q Is there any written policy in place now about Bank
25	of America employees endorsing the promissory notes?

1	A As I said, it is no longer in the scope of my
2	department or any groups. You would have to talk to the new
3	department and the new area of what their policies and
4	procedures in training was.
5	Q How about in the scope of your knowledge? In the
6	scope of your knowledge is there a current policy regarding
7	the endorsing of promissory notes by Bank of America
8	employees?
9	A I do not know.
10	Q You okay. I think that concludes it.
11	Do you have anything you would like to ask,
12	Mr. Trinz?
13	MR. TRINZ: No.
14	MS. LUNDERGAN: Okay. Are you guys going to read?
15	MR. TRINZ: Yes.
16	THE COURT REPORTER: Where do I send it? The original?
17	MR. TRINZ: The original. I guess typically send it to
18	the witness and I'm going to order a copy.
19	THE COURT REPORTER: Where does she send the original
20	when she's done?
21	MR. TRINZ: Well, let's see. That's a good question.
22	You can send it to you; right?
23	THE COURT REPORTER: Can we go off the record?
24	MR. TRINZ: Yeah. Let's go off the record.
25	(Whereupon the deposition concluded at 1:18 p.m.)

1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	
3	I, MICHELE SJOLANDER, do hereby certify under
4	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript
5	of my deposition taken on Wednesday, March 14, 2012; that I
6	have made such corrections as appear noted on the Deposition
7	Errata Page, attached hereto, signed by me; that my testimony
8	as contained herein, as corrected, is true and correct.
9	
10	Dated this day of, 2012, at
11	, California.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	MICHELE SJOLANDER
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	
	Page No Line No
3	Change:
4	Reason for Change:
5	Page No Line No
6	Change:
7	Reason for Change:
8	Page No Line No
9	Change:
10	Reason for Change:
11	Page No Line No
12	Change:
13	Reason for Change:
14	Page No Line No
15	Change:
16	Reason for Change:
17	Page No Line No
18	Change:
19	Reason for Change:
20	Page No Line No
21	Change:
22	Reason for Change:
23	
24	
25	MICHELE SJOLANDER Dated

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
3	
4	I, Julee Sokol, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do
5	hereby certify:
6	That prior to being examined, the witness in the
7	foregoing proceedings was by me duly sworn to testify to the
8	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
9	That said proceedings were taken before me at the
10	time and place therein set forth and were taken down by me in
11	shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
12	direction and supervision;
13	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
14	nor related to, any party to said proceedings, not in anywise
15	interested in the outcome thereof.
16	In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my
17	name.
18	
19	Dated: Sunday, March 25, 2012
20	
21	Lealle 9 a
22	TuYa Cabal
23	Julee Sokol CSB No. 11319
24	
25	